

Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University

Scholarship @ Hofstra Law

Documents from Making Habeas Work: A Legal History (monograph)

Supporting Documents for Making Habeas Work

2-2-1751

Judgment Book of Superior Court, Vol. B, Sept. 1750–Mar. 1754, at 87–88, New Hampshire State Archives - Appeal of Vincent and Lois Tarr

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.law.hofstra.edu/making_habeas_work_mono



Part of the [Legal History Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

"Judgment Book of Superior Court, Vol. B, Sept. 1750–Mar. 1754, at 87–88, New Hampshire State Archives - Appeal of Vincent and Lois Tarr" (1751). *Documents from Making Habeas Work: A Legal History (monograph)*. 17.

https://scholarlycommons.law.hofstra.edu/making_habeas_work_mono/17

This Trial Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Supporting Documents for Making Habeas Work at Scholarship @ Hofstra Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Documents from Making Habeas Work: A Legal History (monograph) by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Hofstra Law. For more information, please contact lawscholarlycommons@hofstra.edu.

SUPERIOR

COURT



SEPT. 1750

through

MARCH 1754

Appealed to the then next Superiour Court of Judicature when
 the appeal was entered and the action was continued and the
 Parties appearing the Case was committed to the Jury sworn
 according to law to try the Issue, who made Return of their
 Verdict thereon upon Oath & say, the Jury find for the appel-
 lant the Premises said for & Costs, It is therefore Considered
 that the said Henry Sherburne ^{Esq} recover against the said Peter
 Morse the Premises said for & Costs of Courts Taxed at the sum
 of nine pounds four Shillings new Tenor Bills of Credit

Jul
1751
Ten

Vincent Jarv of Dover in the Province of New-Hampshire Yeoman
 & Lois his Wife Appellants against Phoebe King of Dover in said
 Province a Negro-Woman & Spinster Appellee From the Judgement of the
 Inferiour Court of Common Pleas held at Portsmouth in & for said Province on
 the first Thursday next following the first Tuesday in December 1750. In a
 Plea of Replevin then and there Commenced & prosecuted by the said Phoebe
 against the said Vincent & Lois in the following Words Namely, In a Plea
 of Replevin for that the said Vincent & Lois on the first day of June 1734. at
 Dover aforesaid did take the said Phoebe & restrained her of her just
 Liberty & Confin'd her to & detain'd her against her Will in the ser-
 vice of them the said Vincent & Lois from the said first Day of June aforesaid
 to the day of the Date hereof. To the Damage of the said Phoebe
 as she says the sum of Two Hundred Pounds, at which said Inferiour
 Court of Common Pleas Judgement was rendered that the Plaintiff
 is a free woman and that she enjoy her freedom and
 recover against the Defendants ~~the~~ Cost of Court Taxed Five
 Pounds Three Shillings New Tenor. From which Judgement the said
 Vincent & Lois appealed to the then next Superiour Court of Judicature where
 the appeal was entered the Parties appearing & being fully heard by
 their Counsell learned in the Law the Case was committed to the Jury sworn ac-
 cording to law to try the Issue who made Return of their Verdict thereon upon Oath
 & say the Jury find for the appellee Confirmation of the former Judgement
 & Cost of Court It is therefore Considered that the former Judgement
 be

Jarv & wife
King
 Mr. Langloose
 Mr. Linn
 Mr. Nippin
 Mr. Jenkins
 Mr. Smith
 Mr. Huggins
 Mr. Dudley
 Mr. Marshall
 Mr. Hill
 Mr. Danfield
 Jasher Kijman
 Edward Milten

23
Do and hereby is affirmed and that the said White is a free
Woman and that she Enjoy her freedom & recover against the said Vincent
& his Est of Court

Langdon
Pearson
E. W. M. H.
W. Pearson fore
Simon Wiggins
J. Jenkins
J. Hill
J. Muggins
W. Gille
L. Jewett
Lach. Rogersly
W. Smith
Abr. Morell
W. Marston
J. Dudley
Execution sued
March 31 1750
229. 1751 he
was satisfied

John Langdon of Portsmouth in the Province of New Hampshire Esquire Appell-
ant against William Daisey of Portsmouth aforesaid in said Province Marri-
er Appellee. From the Judgement of the Inferiour Court of Common Pleas
Held at Portsmouth in & for said Province on the First Thursday next following
the First Tuesday in December 1750. In an action of Trespass Comenced
by the said John against the said William at the Inferiour Court of Common
Pleas Held at Portsmouth in & for said Province on the First Thursday next fol-
lowing the First Tuesday in June & ~~the~~ 1750. but Continued from
Term to Term the Term first mentioned and then & there prosecuted in the
following words Namely In an action of Trespass upon the Case whereupon
the Plaintiff Complains that whereas at Portsmouth aforesaid on or about the
tenth day of June Anno Domini 1749. at the special Instance & Request of
the Defendant he the Plaintiff did let & deliver to the Defendant a Certain
Yelding horse of the Plaintiffs of the Price of fifty Pounds Lawfull money
sound Mind & Limb for him the Defendant to ride from Portsmouth aforesaid
to Boston in the County of Suffolk within the Province of the Massachusetts
Bay and to return to Portsmouth aforesaid within eight Days from about
the said tenth day of June 1749. for & in Consideration of the sum
of Ten Pounds old Tendor for the hire of the said Yelding to be paid
by the Defendant to the Plaintiff at the end of the said eight days &
the Plaintiff avers that the Defendant at Portsmouth aforesaid on
about the ^{aforesaid} tenth day of June 1749. received the said horse of the Plaintiff
to ride from Portsmouth aforesaid to Boston aforesaid whin & there he would
to ride said horse Moderately & Provide for him Suitably with meate &
keeping During the Journey aforesaid and that he would return the
said Yelding to the Plaintiff at Portsmouth aforesaid safe & sound mind
& Limb within eight days from his receiving the same ^{from or}
about