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Prosecutors, the most powerful actors in the criminal justice system, also have the most difficult job: they must be "ministers of justice." A prosecutor's core mission is to vindicate the truth, rather than strive to "win" by accumulating a track record of convictions. When evidence comes to light suggesting that a wrongful conviction has occurred, a prosecutor's ethical obligation requires admitting to a terrible mistake and working to undo it. Many conscientious prosecutors accept this responsibility and confess to their errors, but too many do not. They insist, in the face of overwhelming evidence to the contrary, that wrongfully convicted people are in fact guilty. These prosecutors actively work to delay justice. Some are so committed to adhering to the original mistake that they fail to prosecute the actual perpetrators.

Much has been written about how to change the culture that leads to prosecutors' reflexive doubling-down on wrongful convictions: public shaming in judicial opinions, more rigorous ethical training in law school and on the job, and sharper oversight by state bars. These measures are necessary, but they are insufficient. To curtail innocence denying, the narrative must change about what it means to be a "good prosecutor," historically defined as a tough-on-crime-fighter whose overriding goal is to obtain and preserve convictions. This mindset pits a prosecutor's self-interest in getting and preserving guilty verdicts
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1. MODEL RULES OF PROF'L CONDUCT r. 3.8 cmt. 1 (AM. BAR ASS'N 2016) ("A prosecutor has the responsibility of a minister of justice and not simply that of an advocate. This responsibility carries with it specific obligations to see that the defendant is accorded procedural justice, that guilt is decided upon the basis of sufficient evidence, and that special precautions are taken to prevent and to rectify the conviction of innocent persons.")
against his or her ethical obligations, which require confessing error and reversing course where credible evidence of innocence exists.²

The explosion of interest in wrongful conviction cases, narrated as true-crime stories in podcasts, documentaries, and other media, has begun to erode the power of the traditional narrative. The public is now better informed about the ethical obligations of prosecutors and the consequences of violating these obligations. This, in turn, has led to the election of reform-minded candidates who defeated innocence-denying incumbents by running on progressive platforms, including a commitment to revisiting wrongful conviction cases.

In this Article, I argue that these "good prosecutor" stories can be woven into a coherent and compelling meta-narrative that can help put an end to innocence denying. When the new exoneration narrative becomes predominant, prosecutors who embrace their ethical obligations and correct miscarriages of justice will have everything to gain because the voters will see them as courageous and just. Innocence deniers, by contrast, will be rendered unelectable.

I. INTRODUCTION

Prosecutors, the most powerful actors in the criminal justice system,³ also have the most difficult job: they must be "ministers of justice."⁴ In Berger v. United States,⁵ decided in 1935, the Supreme Court famously declared that the prosecution's ultimate goal "is not that it shall win a case, but that justice shall be done."⁶ A prosecutor, the Court wrote, "is in a peculiar and very definite sense the servant of the law, the twofold aim of which is that guilt shall not escape or innocence suffer."⁷ The ethical complexities and psychological difficulties of this

². Id. r. 3.8(g)–(h).
³. DANIEL S. MEDWED, PROSECUTION COMPLEX: AMERICA’S RACE TO CONVICT AND ITS IMPACT ON THE INNOCENT 2 (2012) ("Prosecutors are the most powerful players in the criminal justice system, capable of determining who should be charged and with what crimes."); see also Lawton P. Cummings, Can an Ethical Person Be an Ethical Prosecutor? A Social Cognitive Approach to Systemic Reform, 31 CARDozo L. REV. 2139, 2146 (2010) ("Prosecutors wield enormous power. They possess almost unfettered discretion in certain key decisions, such as who to charge for what crime, whether to seek the death penalty, and whether to permit a plea.") (footnote omitted)).
⁴. MODEL RULES OF PROF’L CONDUCT r. 3.8 cmt. 1.
⁵. 295 U.S. 78 (1935).
⁶. Id. at 88.
⁷. Id.
truth-seeking imperative arise most pointedly in the second instance, where a wrongful conviction has occurred.

The government brings cases it believes are supported by proof beyond a reasonable doubt. When defendants plead guilty or are convicted following a trial, it appears that the prosecutors got it right, such that their mission to seek the truth and obtain justice are aligned with the case outcome. But after nearly two thousand recorded exonerations, it is undeniable that all too often prosecutors get it wrong, so that truth and justice are perverted. Innocent people confess and accept guilty pleas. Innocent people get convicted after fundamentally flawed trials where crucial facts were withheld by law enforcement, faulty forensic evidence was introduced as infallible, witnesses lied or made mistakes, and defense attorneys provided ineffective assistance of counsel. When evidence comes to light suggesting that a miscarriage of this magnitude has occurred, a prosecutor’s ethical obligation—“justice shall be done”—requires admitting to terrible mistakes and working to

8. See, e.g., MEDWED, supra note 3, at 3 ("How did bloodlust become a prerequisite for working as a prosecutor, at least in that office? The very source of prosecutorial uniqueness—the dual role of advocate and servant of justice—may be part of the answer, causing an ‘ongoing schizophrenia’ about how to balance these responsibilities.").

9. See MODEL RULES OF PROF’L CONDUCT r. 3.8(h) ("When a prosecutor knows of clear and convincing evidence establishing that a defendant in the prosecutor’s jurisdiction was convicted of an offense that the defendant did not commit, the prosecutor shall seek to remedy the conviction.").

10. See CRIMINAL JUSTICE STANDARDS FOR THE PROSECUTION FUNCTION, Standard 3-4.3(b) (AM. BAR ASS’N, 4th ed. 2015) ("After criminal charges are filed, a prosecutor should maintain them only if the prosecutor continues to reasonably believe that probable cause exists and that admissible evidence will be sufficient to support conviction beyond a reasonable doubt.").


14. Lisa Kern Griffin, Criminal Adjudication, Error Correction, and Hindsight Blind Spots, 73 WASH. & LEE L. REV. 165, 196 (2016) (citing BRANDON L. GARRETT, CONVICTING THE INNOCENT: WHERE CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS GO WRONG 205 (2011)) (noting Brandon Garrett’s finding that thirty-two percent of DNA exonerations involved claims of ineffective assistance of counsel); The First 1,600 Exonerations, supra note 12, at 11 tbl. 5 (finding that forty-five percent of the first 1600 exonerations involved official misconduct, twenty-three percent involved false or misleading forensic science, fifty-five percent involved false testimony, and thirty-four percent involved mistaken witness identifications).
undo them.\textsuperscript{15} Many conscientious prosecutors accept this responsibility and confess error. Too many do not.\textsuperscript{16}

There is a select but significant class of prosecutors who are innocence deniers. “They are Democrats and Republicans from red, blue, and purple states”; some are men, some are women.\textsuperscript{17} They are also racially and ethnically diverse, although white men—who compromise the majority of prosecutors in the U.S. at the federal, state, and local levels—predominate.\textsuperscript{18} What innocence deniers have in common is a mindset.\textsuperscript{19} They insist, in the face of overwhelming evidence to the contrary, that wrongfully convicted people are in fact guilty.\textsuperscript{20}

These prosecutors do not “do justice” as the Supreme Court defines it and as their ethical obligations require. Instead, they delay justice, and in some cases, actively work against it. When a prisoner is exonerated by a lower court, these prosecutors double and triple down, filing appeal after appeal. Or they indict and prosecute the exonerate all over again, sometimes under a wildly different theory at the expense of time and resources that should be used to pursue the actual perpetrator.\textsuperscript{21} They may also threaten endless legal challenges to wring “no contest” pleas from innocent prisoners in exchange for time-served sentences.\textsuperscript{22} The prisoners, desperate to be free, accept these Faustian bargains, which brand them convict for life and allow prosecutors to proclaim their guilt and the state to deny them compensation. Some prosecutors are so committed to adhering to their original mistake that they fail

\textsuperscript{15} Berger v. United States, 295 U.S. 78, 88 (1935); see also Imbler v. Pachtman, 424 U.S. 409, 427 n.25 (1976) (“A]fter a conviction the prosecutor also is bound by the ethics of his office to inform the appropriate authority of after-acquired or other information that casts doubt upon the correctness of the conviction.” (citations omitted)).

\textsuperscript{16} Mark Godsey, a former prosecutor and current director of the Ohio Innocence Project, described the “extreme, mind-blowing level of denial” by prosecutors who refused to concede, in the face of overwhelming evidence, that his clients were innocent. MARK GODSEY, BLIND INJUSTICE: A FORMER PROSECUTOR EXPOSES THE PSYCHOLOGY AND POLITICS OF WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS 1, 14-15 (2017); Mark A. Godsey, U. CINCINNATI C.L., https://law.uc.edu/education/faculty/mark-godsey.html (last visited Feb. 3, 2019).

\textsuperscript{17} Bazelon, Innocence Deniers, supra dagger note.


\textsuperscript{19} GODSEY, supra note 16, at 17-18.

\textsuperscript{20} Id. at 9-12 (describing the arduous, years-long effort to free Clarence Elkins, even after DNA evidence conclusively pointed to another man as the rapist and murderer).

\textsuperscript{21} See infra Part II.A.

\textsuperscript{22} See, e.g., infra Part II.A.1, 4.
to prosecute the actual perpetrators, even when there is evidence to convict them.\textsuperscript{23}

To curtail innocence denying, it is necessary to change the narrative about what it means to be a good prosecutor. The dominant narrative, which has long held sway in the U.S., posits that a good prosecutor must be an aggressive crime fighter whose success is measured by a win-loss ratio.\textsuperscript{24} This narrative pits a prosecutor’s self-interest in getting and preserving guilty verdicts against his or her ethical obligations, which require confessing error and reversing course in the face of credible evidence showing defendants’ possible innocence.\textsuperscript{25}

In recent years, a counter-narrative has taken a tentative foothold: a good prosecutor is a protector of the innocent and a crusader for truth.\textsuperscript{26} In this narrative, self-interest and ethical obligations align.\textsuperscript{27} When this counter-narrative assumes its rightful position in the public consciousness as the highest ideal to which a prosecutor can aspire, innocence denying will become increasingly rare and eventually politically untenable.

There is evidence to suggest that the counter-narrative is gaining momentum. As of 2017, 269 innocent people have been released through the cooperative efforts of prosecutors assigned to conviction integrity units or conviction review units, known as CIUs or CRUs respectively.\textsuperscript{28} These units, set up at the discretion of the county’s chief prosecutor, are designed to take an objective look at old cases where there is evidence suggesting that a terrible injustice occurred.\textsuperscript{29} The most successful

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{23} See, e.g., infra Part II.A.5.
\item \textsuperscript{24} GODSEY, supra note 16, at 40-41.
\item \textsuperscript{25} MEDWED, supra note 3, at 130.
\item \textsuperscript{26} Lara Bazelon, \textit{The Good Prosecutor}, POLITICO MAG. (Mar. 24, 2015), https://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2015/03/good-prosecutors-116362 (describing the decision of King County, Washington prosecutor Mark Larson to free Brandon Olebar from prison because it was “undoing a decision that we did not believe was sound. It also just felt like the right thing to do.”); \textit{The Passion of Ken Cuccinelli}, NEWSMAX MAG. (Feb. 2012), https://www.newsmax.com/ken_cuccinelli_biography (chronicling then-Attorney General Kenneth Cuccinelli’s crusade to free Thomas Haynesworth, who had been wrongfully convicted of three separate rapes; Cuccinelli sided with Haynesworth’s attorneys from the Innocence Project when he argued the case to the Virginia Court of Appeals).
\item \textsuperscript{29} \textit{Id.} at 2.
\end{itemize}
CRUs have turned their leaders into heroes, with a few even attaining national prominence.\textsuperscript{30}

The success of these units attests to the growing power of the good prosecutor narrative. On the contrary, the innocence-denying prosecutors who have been toppled from power offer a cautionary tale.\textsuperscript{31} Their oustes, while not solely attributable to innocence denying, nevertheless drive home the message that irrationally insisting on an innocent person's guilt does not pay off at the ballot box.\textsuperscript{32}

This Article argues that, through media exposure that educates and informs the public, "good prosecutor" stories can be woven into a coherent and compelling meta-narrative.\textsuperscript{33} Doing so requires a shift in the traditional exoneration narrative, whereby the wrongfully convicted person and a team of fierce advocates must battle the system—first and foremost, the prosecutor—for years to prevail.\textsuperscript{34} In this narrative, the exoneree and his advocates are heroes and the prosecutors are villains.\textsuperscript{35} The story is important because it educates the public about innocence-denying prosecutors and arguably shames some prosecutors into changing their ways.\textsuperscript{36} But more than shame is needed—it is also important to highlight and elevate the work of prosecutors who do the right thing. In the new exoneration narrative, the prosecutor fights to free the wrongfully convicted person alongside his or her advocates and rightfully shares in the hero acclaim.\textsuperscript{37}

The good prosecutor story has been told, but too infrequently. When the new exoneration narrative becomes dominant, prosecutors who embrace their ethical obligations and correct miscarriages of justice will have everything to gain because the voters will see them as courageous and just.\textsuperscript{38} When innocence deniers, by contrast, are exposed and called to account, public condemnation will follow and they will face a choice: correct course or be rendered unelectable.\textsuperscript{39}

\textsuperscript{30} See infra Part IV.A.
\textsuperscript{31} See infra Part IV.B.
\textsuperscript{32} See infra Part IV.B.
\textsuperscript{33} See infra Part V.A.
\textsuperscript{34} See infra Part II.A–B.
\textsuperscript{35} See James R. Acker, The Flipside Injustice of Wrongful Convictions: When the Guilty Go Free, 76 ALB. L. REV. 1629, 1709-11 (2013) (suggesting a variety of reforms to the criminal justice system to protect the innocent, and noting that the implementation of those reforms have been met with "considerable resistance" from those who believe that "measures enacted to safeguard the innocent must also invariably or often serve to shield the guilty" (footnote omitted)).
\textsuperscript{36} See, e.g., infra Part IV.B.3.
\textsuperscript{37} See infra Part IV.A.
\textsuperscript{38} See infra Part V.A–B.
\textsuperscript{39} See infra Part IV.B.1–2.
II. DEFINING INNOCENCE DENYING AND ASSESSING ITS IMPACT

Simply opposing an exoneration effort does not make a prosecutor an innocence denier. Some exoneration claims are bogus and others are murky, requiring rigorous legal testing to be proven conclusively. Increasingly, conscientious prosecutors are working collaboratively with defense attorneys to reinvestigate innocence claims, keeping an open mind and doing the right thing in the end.\textsuperscript{40} Innocence denying is qualitatively different. It is extreme. It involves an irrational, counterfactual refusal to admit that a wrongfully convicted person is innocent despite overwhelming evidence that points to innocence.\textsuperscript{41} Innocence-denying prosecutors are not uncommon,\textsuperscript{42} and their conduct contributes to the ruination of lives.\textsuperscript{43} Their conduct also runs contrary to their fundamental ethical obligation, which is to seek justice.\textsuperscript{44} In addition, innocence denying poses a risk to public safety by allowing the actual perpetrator to remain at large.\textsuperscript{45}

\textbf{A. Who is an Innocence Denier? Six Variations on a Theme}

An innocence denier is a prosecutor who: (1) re-prosecutes a wrongfully convicted person under a theory that is irreconcilable with the argument advanced in connection with the original conviction; (2) indicts and prosecutes for perjury and without good cause witnesses who testify favorably on behalf of a wrongfully convicted person in a post-conviction proceeding; (3) appeals a judicial decision to vacate a

\textsuperscript{40} See Exonerations in 2017, supra note 28, at 2 ("There were 33 CIUs in the United States in 2017, more than double the number in 2013 and more than six times the number in 2011. Forty-two CIU-exonerations took place in 2017. Overall, CIUs have helped secure 269 exonerations from 2003 through 2017; more than 80% occurred since 2014.").

\textsuperscript{41} Bazelon, Innocence Deniers, supra dagger note.

\textsuperscript{42} GODSEY, supra note 16, at 24 ("Any lawyer in the innocence movement could provide example upon example where prosecutors fought back against innocence claims with a closed-minded attitude and denials that anyone their office had convicted could possibly be innocent.").

Aviva Orenstein, Facing the Unfaceable: Dealing with Prosecutorial Denial in Postconviction Cases of Actual Innocence, 48 SAN DIEGO L. REV. 401, 402-03 (2011) ("[S]ome prosecutors go to incredible lengths to deny the obvious rather than face the fact that the justice system failed and they may have contributed to that failure.").

\textsuperscript{43} GODSEY, supra note 16, at 17 ("When I look at these cases, I see police officers, prosecutors, and judges who took unreasonable and intellectually dishonest positions that stripped innocent people of their freedom and caused tremendous human suffering for entire families.").

\textsuperscript{44} See supra text accompanying notes 3-7.

\textsuperscript{45} According to the Innocence Project, 362 people have been exonerated by DNA evidence since 1989. DNA Exonerations in the United States, INNOCENCE PROJECT, https://www.innocenceproject.org/dna-exonerations-in-the-united-states (last visited Feb. 3, 2019). The group has identified 158 actual perpetrators in those cases who went on to commit "150 additional violent crimes, including 80 sexual assaults, 35 murders, and 35 other violent crimes while the innocent sat behind bars for their earlier offenses." \textit{Id.}
conviction multiple times over a period of years and threatens re-prosecution; (4) threatens to re-prosecute an exoneree knowing he or she lacks proof beyond a reasonable doubt unless the exoneree accepts a no contest plea for time served; (5) continues to publicly proclaim that a wrongfully convicted person is guilty and advocates against his or her compensation by the state; or (6) declines to indict the individuals that the evidence strongly suggests are the actual perpetrators.

1. Philadelphia County District Attorney R. Seth Williams

In 1991, the Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office charged twenty-year-old Anthony Wright with capital murder for the rape and stabbing death of a seventy-seven-year-old woman.\(^46\) The case against Wright turned, in part, on a purported confession written by the police, which Wright signed.\(^47\) Wright, who is African-American, maintained from the beginning that he signed the document only after the interrogating officers, who were white, threatened to “‘rip [his] eyes out’ and to ‘skull-fuck’ him....” \(^48\) Convicted in 1993, Wright was sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole.\(^49\)

In 2005, Innocence Project lawyers Nina Morrison and Peter Neufeld took on Wright’s case, petitioning the trial court for DNA testing.\(^50\) The Philadelphia District Attorney, Lynne Abraham—known as the “Queen of Death” for the number of capital punishment verdicts she amassed under her tenure\(^51\)—fought Wright’s request in the state’s trial and intermediate appellate courts, arguing that Wright’s “confession” rendered him ineligible for DNA testing.\(^52\)

---


47. Victor Fiorillo, supra note 46.


Abraham, a white Democrat and the city’s first female district attorney, retired.\textsuperscript{53} She was replaced by R. Seth Williams, the city’s first African-American district attorney, who ran on a platform of criminal justice reform.\textsuperscript{54} But Williams maintained Abraham’s position.\textsuperscript{55} In 2011, after a five-year legal battle, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court sided with Wright.\textsuperscript{56} The DNA evidence from the rape kit was tested in 2013 and came back as a match to a deceased drug addict named Ronnie Byrd.\textsuperscript{57}

When Wright’s attorneys presented the DNA evidence to the Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office, Williams responded with a decision to re-try the case, citing “considerable and compelling evidence” of Wright’s guilt.\textsuperscript{58} When Shannon Coleman, the grand niece of the victim, learned the news, she was appalled.\textsuperscript{59} Believing in Wright’s innocence, she met with a top deputy in Williams’s office and started a Change.org petition to protest, calling the re-prosecution “a travesty.”\textsuperscript{60} Nearly 40,000 people signed the petition, from countries as far away as Israel.\textsuperscript{61}

But Williams pressed ahead, and in August 2016, the Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office went to trial under a different theory of the crime:\textsuperscript{62}

\begin{itemize}
  \item A.3d 798, 817-18 (Pa. 2011).
  \item However, in its brief to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, Williams’s Office did “concede[.] . . . that the Superior Court was wrong to adopt a per se rule barring a convicted defendant who gave a confession, subsequently deemed legally voluntary, from asserting actual innocence . . . in order to obtain DNA testing . . . .” Wright, 14 A.3d at 808.
  \item Id. at 817-18.
  \item Solotaroff, supra note 46.
  \item Id. (internal quotation marks omitted).
  \item BAZELON, supra note 46, at 183-84; Telephone Interview with Shannon Coleman (June 18, 2017) (on file with author).
  \item BAZELON, supra note 46, at 184-85; Coleman, supra note 60 (indicating 39,230 as the total number of supporters of Coleman’s petition).
\end{itemize}
In 1993, relying on Wright’s recanted confession, [prosecutors] . . . argued that he acted alone. Twenty-five years later, . . . [they] told the jury that Wright and Byrd—who had no known connection to one another—committed the rape, robbery, burglary, and murder together. Under the state’s new theory, Wright raped and murdered the victim and Byrd also raped her, [perhaps] anally and vaginally, . . . after she was dead.63

It took the jury five minutes to acquit Wright on all of the charges.64 Innocence Project co-director Peter Neufeld, who was part of Wright’s legal team, called the prosecutors’ actions “unconscionable and unacceptable.”65 But Williams doubled down. In a statement, the District Attorney’s Office insisted that Wright was guilty and that “[t]he verdict only shows that the jury did not find that his guilt was proven beyond a reasonable doubt.”66

On September 20, 2016, Wright filed a federal lawsuit arguing that the City of Philadelphia owed him an unspecified amount of damages due to police misconduct and malicious prosecution.67 On June 6, 2018, the city settled the case, paying Wright $9.85 million.68

Williams also fought to preserve the conviction of James Dennis, who was convicted of robbery and murder and sentenced to death.69 Manuel Santiago and Frank Justzembski, the detectives who extracted Wright’s false confession and planted evidence, were also involved in Dennis’s case.70 A federal trial judge ordered a new trial for Dennis, citing multiple Brady violations in which the State had withheld exculpatory evidence.71 Williams, however, “was not shamed by the

0229_D_A__takes_another_shot_at_overturned_murder_conviction_from_1993.html?arc404=true.

63. Lara Bazelon, Pennsylvania’s Shame, SLATE (Oct. 12, 2016, 5:45 AM), http://www.slate.com/articles/news_and_politics/jurisprudence/2016/10/how_did_pennsylvania_s_criminal_justice_system_fail_this_profoundly.html; see also Slobodzian, supra note 62.


68. Rolen, supra note 62.

69. Bookman, supra note 66.

70. Id.


72. Dennis v. Wetzel, 966 F. Supp. 2d 489, 518 (E.D. Pa. 2013); see also Brady, 373 U.S.
revelation that his office had hidden important evidence. Rather, he was emboldened, condemning the district court’s ‘acceptance of slanted factual allegations.’”73 Williams appealed to the Third Circuit, which ruled in a 9-4 en banc decision that Dennis was entitled to a new trial.74 Faced with the possibility of a retrial, Dennis subsequently pleaded no contest and was released after serving twenty-five years in prison.75

2. Orleans Parish County District Attorney Leon Cannizzaro

Jerome Morgan was eighteen when he was convicted of the 1993 murder of a fellow teenager in New Orleans, Louisiana.76 The victim, Clarence Landry III, died after shots were fired at a sweet sixteen party in a downtown hotel ballroom where Morgan was also present.77 Hakim Shabazz and another teenager were wounded but survived.78 The gunman immediately fled the scene and was chased unsuccessfully by Landry’s friend, Kevin Johnson.79

Johnson ruled out Morgan when police showed him a photo array several weeks after the crime.80 But Shabazz identified Morgan as the shooter, and four months after that, the police brought Johnson back for a second look.81 At that point, Johnson changed his story and identified Morgan as the gunman.82 Based on these two identifications, Morgan was convicted of second-degree murder.83 In 1994, a judge sentenced Morgan “to serve his natural life at hard labor in the Department of Corrections.”84

---

73. Bookman, supra note 66.
77. Id.
78. See Affidavit of Hakim Shabazz at 1 (May 10, 2011) (on file with author); Possley, Jerome Morgan, supra note 76.
81. Id. at 24; Affidavit of Hakim Shabazz, supra note 78, at 1-2; Affidavit of Kevin Johnson, supra note 79, at 1; Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, supra note 80, at 24.
82. Affidavit of Kevin Johnson, supra note 79, at 1; Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, supra note 80, at 24.
84. Id. at 2.
In 2001, the Innocence Project of New Orleans ("IPNO"), which represented Morgan post-conviction, also uncovered evidence that the ballroom had been sealed within minutes of the shooting and that Morgan had been locked inside, making it impossible that he was the fleeing gunman.85 Prosecutors had that evidence all along but never turned it over to the defense.86

In 2011 and 2012, respectively, Shabazz and Johnson recanted their identifications.87 But Orleans Parish District Attorney Leon Cannizzaro, a white Democrat who took office in 2008, continued to insist that Morgan was guilty and fought his release.88 (Morgan, Landry, Shabazz, and Johnson are all Black.)89 Following an evidentiary hearing, a trial judge overturned Morgan’s conviction in 2014.90 At that point, he had served twenty years.91 Cannizzaro unsuccessfully opposed Morgan’s release on bail, then appealed to the state’s intermediate appellate court seeking to reinstate his conviction.92 “When that effort failed, he appealed again, this time to the state’s highest court.”93 He also indicted Shabazz and Johnson for perjury94 and then argued that his office should be allowed to introduce Shabazz and Johnson’s recanted trial testimony as neither man would agree to take the stand at Morgan’s retrial given the perjury indictments hanging over their heads.95 The Louisiana Supreme Court ruled against Cannizzaro, holding that the State “may not rely oil [sic] these discredited unreliable identifications.”96 Cannizzaro’s office also went after IPNO attorneys Emily Maw and Kristin

86. Possley, Jerome Morgan, supra note 76.
87. See Affidavit of Hakim Shabazz, supra note 78, at 2; Affidavit of Kevin Johnson, supra note 79, at 1.
88. Bazelon, Innocence Deniers, supra dagger note.
89. Id.
90. Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, supra note 80, at 1; Possley, Jerome Morgan, supra note 76.
91. Possley, Jerome Morgan, supra note 76.
93. Bazelon, Innocence Deniers, supra dagger note.
Wenstrom, arguing that they should be removed as Morgan’s counsel for coercing false recantations from Johnson and Shabazz. The judge dismissed the request as “outrageous.”

“In May 2016, two weeks before Morgan’s scheduled retrial,” the Orleans Parish District Attorney’s Office finally dismissed the charges, “saying the high court’s ruling had tied its hands. Cannizzaro professed deep sorrow for the victim’s family,” stating: “What is most disappointing to me about this entire incident is that, as [the victim’s mother] lays in bed dying of cancer, the justice for which she worked so hard to obtain is ripped from her fingers and she is helpless to stop it.”

Maw, who had stayed in close contact with Landry’s parents throughout the case, called Cannizzaro’s statement “dishonest and preposterous.”

The Orleans Parish District Attorney proceeded with the perjury charges against Shabazz and Johnson. On January 30, 2017, a judge acquitted both men. On May 26, 2017, Morgan filed a federal civil rights lawsuit against the Orleans Parish District Attorney’s Office and the New Orleans Police Department seeking damages, alleging that the named parties in the lawsuit “maliciously prosecuted Mr. Morgan in violation of his rights under the Constitution of the United States.”

Jerome Morgan’s case is not the only exoneration case that Cannizzaro has fought for years; he used similar tactics against Robert Jones, who was finally exonerated on January 26, 2017, following wrongful convictions in 1996 for an infamous 1992 crime spree in New Orleans.

---

98. Bazelon, Innocence Deniers, supra dagger note (internal quotation marks omitted).
100. Bazelon, Innocence Deniers, supra dagger note (internal quotation marks omitted); see also BAZELON, supra note 46, at 170.
Orleans’s French Quarter. Jones has also filed a federal civil rights lawsuit seeking money damages. Cannizzaro has also gone after other defendants’ lawyers, investigators, recanting witnesses, and reluctant witnesses in court by accusing them of committing crimes.

3. San Bernardino County District Attorney Michael Ramos

In 1993, the San Bernardino County District Attorney’s Office charged William Richards with the gruesome murder of his wife, Pamela. Although the couple had marital problems, the evidence against William Richards was “circumstantial” and “heavily contested.” On the night of the murder, Richards clocked out from work at 11:03 PM, then drove forty-five miles to get home. Even assuming he exceeded the speed limit by twenty miles per hour, police estimated Richards couldn’t have arrived home before 11:47 PM, giving him no more than eleven minutes to brutalize his wife before he called 911 at 11:58 PM to report she was dead.

The State’s first three attempts to convict Richards were not successful: two juries could not reach a verdict, and a mistrial was declared in a third trial after the judge recused himself following jury selection. At the fourth trial, new expert testimony was introduced: A dentist, Norman Sperber, told the jury that the bite mark photographed on Pamela’s hand was consistent with Richards’s teeth and that the bite mark was so unusual as to be found in just one to two percent of the

109. Id. at 202-03.
110. Id. at 198, 202, 210.
111. Id. at 197.
population. Richards was convicted and sentenced to life in prison in 1997. Richards’s case caught the attention of the California Innocence Project ("CIP"), which filed a motion for DNA testing on December 5, 2002 on the paving stone and cinder block found near Pamela’s body and a hair found underneath her nails. The San Bernardino County District Attorney’s Office, led by Michael Ramos, opposed the motion. Ramos, who was first elected in November 2002, was a Republican and San Bernardino’s first Hispanic district attorney. He was known for his tough-on-crime stance. A prosecutor with a national profile, Ramos held powerful positions in the National District Attorney’s Association (“NDAA”) and ultimately was elected president of the organization. He was widely believed to have higher political aspirations, eyeing the State Attorney General’s Office.

When DNA results came back in 2007, they excluded Richards. A year later, the dentist recanted his testimony, stating he had “no degree of certainty” about his earlier conclusion, and adding that, with regard to Richards, “I have essentially ruled [him] . . . out.” In 2009, a trial judge overturned William Richards’s conviction, finding that the prosecution’s case had been vitiated because “the new evidence pointed unerringly to petitioner’s innocence.”
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Another seven years of legal dueling followed. In May 2016, the California Supreme Court ruled unanimously to overturn Richards’s conviction, holding: “[I]t is reasonably probable that the false evidence presented by Dr. Sperber at petitioner’s 1997 jury trial affected the outcome of that proceeding.”

Although the DNA pointed to someone else, the recanted bite mark testimony had been thrown out, the timeline did not jibe, and there was no other evidence, Ramos announced his intent to prosecute Richards for a fifth time. Ramos also demanded that Richards post a $100,000 bond to be released pending trial. The judge let Richards out on his own signature. On June 20, 2016, CIP filed a motion to dismiss the case, arguing that the prosecution was “indicative of ulterior motives and vindictiveness.”

Eight days later, Ramos’s office finally dismissed the murder charge while maintaining that no final decision had been made. In 2017, Richards filed a civil rights lawsuit against, inter alia, the County of San Bernardino, two sheriff’s deputies, one sergeant, one detective, and three criminalists, seeking unspecified damages for “actions . . . that were deliberate, reckless, wanton, cruel, motivated by evil motive or intent, done in bad faith, and/or involved callous indifference” for the truth.

4. Pima County Arizona District Attorney Barbara LaWall

Louis Taylor, who is African-American, was sixteen years old when Tucson police detectives in Pima County, Arizona, arrested him in 1970 on the suspicion that he deliberately set a fire that burned down a landmark hotel, killing twenty-eight people. Police focused on Taylor
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even though he had helped to fight the blaze and had voluntarily gone to the stationhouse as a witness.\footnote{131} For nine hours, detectives interrogated Taylor—who was a juvenile—without his parents or an attorney present.\footnote{132} Despite enormous pressure, he did not confess.\footnote{133} An all-white jury convicted Taylor, based in large part\footnote{134} on forensic testimony by an expert named Cyrus Holmes, who testified that the fire had to be arson.\footnote{135} Taylor was sentenced to life in prison.\footnote{136}

After Taylor had been incarcerated for more than forty years, five nationally renowned arson experts,\footnote{137} led by John Lentini, re-examined the evidence in the case, applying the 2011 National Fire Protection Association 921, Guide for Fire and Explosion Investigations (“NFPA Guide”),\footnote{138} which “is the foremost guide for” “scientific-based investigation and analysis of fire and explosion incidents.”\footnote{139} In 2012, Lentini and his colleagues issued an exhaustive report finding that Holmes’s purported expert methods were proven scientifically unsound and without factual basis.\footnote{140}
Holmes, meanwhile, stuck to his trial testimony that the fire was arson, but he had revealed himself to be a racist whose bigotry appeared to have played a role in his investigation. In a videotaped deposition in 2012, Holmes testified that:

Blacks at that point [when Taylor was arrested], their background was the use of fire for beneficial purposes. In other words, they were used to clearing lands and doing cleanup work and things like that and fire was a tool. So it was just a tool for them. In other words, you’re comfortable with it. And if they get mad at somebody, the first thing they do is use something they’re comfortable with. Fire was one of them.

Pima County Prosecutor Barbara LaWall, a white Democrat who was first elected in 1996, directed the Tucson Fire Department to conduct its own re-investigation. In 2013, Wayne Cummings, an investigator with the City of Tucson Fire Department, released a forty-two-page report. He found that the fire could have been an accident and ultimately concluded: “Due to the lack of an exact point of origin determination and the lack of elimination of all accidental fire causes, a fire cause determination is not possible reviewing the material provided to me.”

LaWall did not dispute Cummings’s conclusions, telling 60 Minutes: “Well, nobody can say for sure whether it was [arson] or

---

142. See Hotel Fire Re-Examined, supra note 130; I Had No Choice, supra note 130.
143. Hotel Fire Re-Examined, supra note 130.
146. CUMMINGS, supra note 145, at 40 (“Potential accidental sources of the fire ignition in this incident could include an electrical malfunction or discarded smoking materials. In 1970, several studies indicate[d] that approximately 40% of American citizens smoked. This statistic is also supported by the presence of metal ashtrays mounted between the elevator doors in the guest room hallways. Hotel guest room photographs illustrate that ashtrays and smoking materials were common.”).
147. Id. at 42.
whether it wasn't."\textsuperscript{148} Nevertheless, LaWall promised to fight to preserve Taylor's conviction all the way to the U.S. Supreme Court unless Taylor pleaded no contest to twenty-eight counts of murder in exchange for a sentence of time served.\textsuperscript{149}

Taylor, who had professed his innocence for four decades, saw no way out.\textsuperscript{150} At the age of fifty-eight, the prospect of spending "another minute, another hour, another decade" in prison was unbearable.\textsuperscript{151} He took the deal, pleading no contest and stating afterwards: "I had no choice."\textsuperscript{152} LaWall offered a memorandum to the court providing a series of "facts" to support the case that Taylor was guilty, relying on the opinion of the discredited and disgraced Holmes.\textsuperscript{153}

Taylor's decision to take the deal had severe consequences. He was ineligible for transitional housing and other services.\textsuperscript{154} Potential landlords were scared off by the liability implications of renting to someone with arson convictions.\textsuperscript{155} He was barred from seeking official vindication in the form of a pardon or certificate of innocence.\textsuperscript{156} After Taylor filed a federal civil rights lawsuit seeking compensatory damages from Pima County and the City of Tucson for racial discrimination and withholding evidence in 2015,\textsuperscript{157} LaWall's office also used his no contest pleas to argue that the law "bars Taylor's claim for
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compensatory damages." The judge adopted LaWall's argument in dismissing Taylor's key claims for compensation. In January 2019, a divided panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit upheld the ruling, which foreclosed the possibility that Taylor would receive any money from the state. "We take no pleasure in reaching this result," the majority wrote.

Taylor became homeless. In July 2017, he was arrested by Tucson police for committing an armed robbery. "He had nothing," one of his longtime lawyers told a reporter, "other than what supporters would give him now and then."

5. Wayne County Michigan Prosecuting Attorney Kym Worthy

Davontae Sanford was fourteen years old when he confessed to murdering four people in a drug house in Detroit, Michigan's east side. Left alone with detectives in a late-night interrogation, Sanford, who is African-American, says he broke down after being told he could go home if he gave them "something." On the advice of a lawyer whose license was later suspended for misconduct, Sanford pleaded guilty in the middle of his March 2008 trial and received a sentence of thirty-nine to ninety-two years in prison.  

160. Taylor v. County of Pima, 913 F.3d 930, 936 (9th Cir. 2019).
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164. Id.
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Sixteen days after Sanford was sentenced, a hitman named Vincent Smothers told the police he had carried out twelve contract killings, including the four Sanford had pleaded guilty to committing. Smothers explained that he had worked with an accomplice, Ernest Davis, and he provided a wealth of corroborating details to back up his account. Smothers told police where they could find one of the weapons used in the murders; the gun was recovered and ballistics matched it to the crime scene. He also told the police he had used a different gun in several of the other murders, which ballistics tests confirmed. Once Smothers’s confession was corroborated, it was clear Sanford was innocent. Smothers made this point explicitly in [a twenty-six-page sworn statement], emphasizing that Sanford hadn’t been involved in the crimes “in any way.”

But Smothers and Davis were never charged for the Runyon Street murders. Neither was Leroy Payne, the man Smothers alleged had paid him to commit the murders. Instead, Smothers pleaded guilty to the other eight killings. Davis, who was never prosecuted, was convicted of an unrelated felony in 2013.

Neither the Detroit Police Department nor the Wayne County Prosecutor’s Office, which has jurisdiction over Detroit, ever informed Sanford’s attorneys that Smothers confessed to the Runyon Street murders. When that information was leaked in 2009,
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Sanford’s attorneys sought to reverse his conviction on the basis of actual innocence.178

Wayne County Prosecuting Attorney Kym Worthy, a Black Democrat who was first elected in 2004, opposed this effort.179 After Sanford prevailed in the Court of Appeals, Worthy’s office appealed to the Michigan Supreme Court.180 In 2014, the court sided with Worthy, ruling that actual innocence was not a valid reason to withdraw a guilty plea.181

In 2015, attorneys for Sanford filed a motion for post-conviction relief, and the Michigan State Police began to reinvestigate the case.182 One year later, the State Police issued a 114-page report detailing compelling evidence that Smothers and Davis were guilty, that Sanford was innocent, and that Detroit’s Deputy Police Chief James Tolbert had lied to convict Sanford.183 It was at that point, nine years into Sanford’s incarceration, that Worthy finally agreed to his release, but only on account of Tolbert’s misconduct.184 She continued to insist that Sanford was guilty, pointing to his discredited confession.185 The State Police recommended bringing perjury charges against Tolbert and murder charges against Smothers and Davis.186 Worthy declined in all three
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cases.\textsuperscript{187} "In reference to her office’s handling of Sanford’s case, Worthy has said, ‘I don’t know what we could have done differently . . . .’\textsuperscript{188}

In July 2017, Sanford filed a civil suit under Michigan’s wrongful compensation statute.\textsuperscript{189} Just four months later, the state attorney general conceded that Sanford was innocent and entitled to compensation, but noted that Worthy’s office “has been consulted and disagrees . . . .”\textsuperscript{190} On December 21, 2017, the judge awarded Sanford $408,356.16.\textsuperscript{191}

David Moran, the director of the Michigan Innocence Clinic and Sanford’s lead attorney, stated that Worthy’s office has fought his efforts to free clients with compelling innocence claims in half a dozen other cases.\textsuperscript{192} One of Moran’s clients was Lamarr Monson, who was convicted of the 1996 murder of a twelve-year-old girl and sentenced to thirty-to-fifty years in prison.\textsuperscript{193} Monson, who like Sanford, is Black, claimed he was tricked into signing a false confession which stated that he stabbed the victim to death.\textsuperscript{194} Indeed, the confession made little sense: the medical examiner determined that the cause of death was not stabbing, but rather blunt force trauma to the head.\textsuperscript{195}

Twenty years later, Moran and his students examined the object that the medical examiner had identified as the likely murder weapon, a ceramic toilet lid used to bludgeon the victim.\textsuperscript{196} The lid was covered with bloody fingerprints, which had never been tested.\textsuperscript{197} The Michigan
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State Police found that all the fingerprints matched a man named Robert Lewis, who was living in the same building as the victim at the time of the murder.\textsuperscript{198}

Worthy’s office fought to preserve Monson’s conviction during an evidentiary hearing in late 2016.\textsuperscript{199} On January 30, 2017, a judge granted Monson a new trial, and he was subsequently released on bond.\textsuperscript{200} Worthy stated that her office sought to delay the retrial so it could conduct an additional investigation.\textsuperscript{201} Two homicide investigators were dispatched to interview Lewis, who denied committing the murder.\textsuperscript{202} Worthy found Lewis’s denials convincing: “His statements were consistent with the evidence that was available in the case,” she said.\textsuperscript{203}

Seven months later, in August 2017, a few weeks before Monson’s retrial, Worthy’s office finally dismissed the case.\textsuperscript{204} But Worthy stated that her decision not to re-prosecute Monson was not based on her belief that he was innocent but instead was “[d]ue to the destruction of evidence, issues surrounding the way the police obtained Monson’s confession and the passage of time . . . .”\textsuperscript{205} Lewis was never charged.\textsuperscript{206}

Sanford and Monson have both filed separate federal civil rights lawsuits, naming as defendants the City of Detroit and the Detroit Police Department, and seeking unspecified damages for the misconduct that resulted in their wrongful convictions.\textsuperscript{207}

6. Passaic County District Attorney Camelia Valdez

In 1996, Eric Kelley and Ralph Lee, two young African-American men, were convicted and sentenced to life in prison for robbing and

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{198} Id.
\textsuperscript{202} Id.
\textsuperscript{203} Id.
\textsuperscript{204} Bartkowski, \textit{ supra} note 194.
\textsuperscript{205} Id.
\textsuperscript{206} Bazelon, \textit{Innocence Deniers, supra} dagger note.
\end{footnotesize}
murdering a video store clerk in Paterson, New Jersey.\textsuperscript{208} The medical examiner determined that the victim died of multiple stab wounds.\textsuperscript{209} Left behind at the crime scene was a green plaid cap.\textsuperscript{210} A video store customer who interacted briefly with the perpetrator ultimately identified Lee and said he had been wearing the green plaid cap.\textsuperscript{211} Kelley, who has severe intellectual deficits,\textsuperscript{212} confessed and implicated Lee, who also confessed.\textsuperscript{213} Both men recanted, saying that their inculpatory statements were based on information provided to them by the police.\textsuperscript{214} The murder weapon and the stolen goods were never found.\textsuperscript{215}

In 2008, Vanessa Potkin, an Innocence Project attorney representing Kelley, and Paul Casteleiro, an attorney from Centurion Ministries representing Lee, sought DNA testing on the green plaid cap using more sophisticated methods than were available at the time of the trial.\textsuperscript{216} The Passaic County Prosecutor’s Office, which has jurisdiction over Paterson, opposed the motion.\textsuperscript{217} It took two years of litigation to obtain a court order to have the DNA testing performed.\textsuperscript{218} The results came back in 2014: DNA on the hat did not belong to either Kelley or Lee.\textsuperscript{219} When the sample was uploaded to the Combined DNA
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Index System ("CODIS"), the FBI's database, it matched a man named Eric Dixon.

At this point, the top prosecutor for Passaic County was Camelia M. Valdes, a Latina Democrat who had been appointed to the position in 2009. Potkin and Casteleiro asked Valdes's office to provide Dixon's rap sheet and other documents relating to his criminal history. One of Valdes's prosecutors refused, calling it "combat." Potkin and Casteleiro once again approached prosecutors from Valdes's office, this time to ask if they wanted to interview Dixon together. They were told no. An investigator working for Potkin and Casteleiro found Dixon and interviewed him alone. "This is police work," Potkin said, "and we were the ones doing it." When Potkin and Casteleiro obtained the documents through a public records request, they learned that, three years before the store clerk's killing, Dixon had been convicted of robbing another Paterson business by holding a knife to the owner's throat and threatening to kill her. After serving a prison sentence for that crime, Dixon had been released. He returned to the Paterson area shortly before the video store clerk's murder.

Although the test results matching Dixon's DNA to the DNA found on the hat came back in 2014, Valdes's office never told the Paterson Police Department, and nothing was done to investigate Dixon. Testifying at an evidentiary hearing on January 4, 2017, the lead detective, Richard Reyes, stated under oath that he was hearing the news.
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for the first time in court that day.233 Asked by Casteleiro whether he would have charged Dixon in 1996 had he known of the DNA match, Reyes answered: “[T]hat’s not any kind of conclusive evidence.”234

On September 15, 2017, the trial court vacated Kelley’s and Lee’s convictions and released them on bail.235 Valdes’s office responded by appealing the judge’s decision as a “clear abuse of discretion” and filing an emergency application—which was unsuccessful—to have their bail revoked.236

On March 18, 2018, the unanimous three-judge panel of the appellate division of the New Jersey Superior Court upheld the decision to grant Kelley and Lee new trials, stating: “Our affirmed rests fundamentally on the substantial evidence of Dixon’s potential third-party guilt that was revealed through the DNA retesting.”237 The court went on: “[W]e cannot turn a blind eye to the revelation and the probability that defendants, who have been incarcerated since 1996, would have been acquitted.”238

Three weeks later, Valdes’s office dismissed the murder and robbery charges against Kelley and Lee during a court appearance in connection with their retrial.239 In a public statement, Valdes stated that a retrial “would not be in the interests of justice,” but emphasized that no judge had ever found that Kelley and Lee were actually innocent.240 Valdes’s office deflected questions about whether it would investigate Dixon.241 Kelley, Lee, Potkin, and Casteleiro were caught by surprise, having prepared to spend the day in court litigating pretrial motions.242

B. The Impact of Innocence Denying

1. The Wrongfully Convicted

The wrongfully convicted have been compared to survivors of war who have experienced unrelenting psychological trauma.243 Many have
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lost decades of their lives to prison, forcibly and senselessly separated from spouses, parents, children, friends, work, and the pleasures, great and small, of daily life on the outside. Anthony Wright served twenty-five years; James Dennis served twenty-five years; Jerome Morgan served twenty years; Robert Jones served twenty-three years; William Richards served twenty-three years; Louis Taylor served forty-two years; Davontae Sanford served nine years; Lamarr Monson served twenty years; Eric Kelley and Ralph Lee each served twenty-four years—a collective total of 235 years in prison.  

It is hard to overstate the devastation of decades lost in prison when the punishment was entirely undeserved. Speaking to PBS’s *Frontline*, Craig Haney, a professor of psychology at the University of California-Santa Cruz, said that exonerees experience “the deepest despair I’ve ever encountered” because they feel “a profound loss of faith in the institutions of the society, a loss of confidence in the society itself.” Whereas the guilty have “the opportunity to try to put that experience [of prison] in some coherent framework,” for the wrongfully convicted, “[i]t is irrational suffering. It’s suffering without meaning, without justification.”

Many exonerees were assaulted, raped, and terrorized; some spent years in solitary confinement. Some are full of anger and fear while lacking the tools to express themselves because they had learned to stifle their emotions in order to survive. They have no ready access to
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services that can help them re-acclimate to society: no parole officer or other legally guaranteed support system in place to help them find work and a place to live.\textsuperscript{250} The road to obtaining money from the state, if that is even possible, can be long and arduous.\textsuperscript{251} Some exonerees die within a few years of their release, from health problems that went untreated in prison, substance abuse, accidents, or involvement in violent crimes.\textsuperscript{252} Darryl Hunt, an exoneree whose story became an HBO film, \textit{The Trials of Darryl Hunt}, was freed in 2005 after spending nineteen years in prison for a rape and murder he did not commit.\textsuperscript{253} The victim, Deborah Sykes, was a young white woman.\textsuperscript{254} Hunt was nineteen years old and African-American.\textsuperscript{255} His trial was racially charged and, in prison, he faced constant death threats from white supremacists.\textsuperscript{256} Hunt received compensation from the State, got married, and gained a national reputation as a criminal justice advocate.\textsuperscript{257} But he remained haunted, became addicted to drugs, and suffered from depression.\textsuperscript{258} In 2016, Hunt committed suicide.\textsuperscript{259}
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\textsuperscript{254} Darryl Hunt, supra note 253.

\textsuperscript{255} \textit{Id.}


\textsuperscript{257} Zerwick, supra note 253.

\textsuperscript{258} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{259} Max Blau, \textit{Tragedy of Darryl Hunt: How Exonerated Man Came to Take His Own Life},
2. The Victims

"The original crime victims are forced to relive the worst experience of their lives with the knowledge that the actual perpetrator was never caught, or was caught far too late, after victimizing more people."260 Some crime survivors "unwittingly played a role in the wrongful conviction because they mistakenly identified the innocent person as the attacker . . ."261 For these victims, their re-traumatization is "compounded by confusion and overwhelming guilt."262

"The victim’s family members, whose lives were forever altered by the rape, assault, or murder of a loved one, are left to watch as stricken bystanders."263 For many, the news comes as a shock because they were
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263. Christy Lucas Sheppard, whose cousin, Debbie Sue Carter, was raped and murdered in 1982, was devastated to learn that the two men convicted of the crimes were innocent. BAZELON, supra note 46, at 100-01. She stated in a May 14, 2016 interview: “From the victim’s standpoint it’s no longer your case. It’s the state’s case. For the rape victim, her body isn’t even her body anymore; it’s a piece of evidence. Even the name of the case belongs to the perpetrator. Debbie was literally erased.” Id.
not alerted beforehand by law enforcement.264 “They too relive the trauma, this time in isolation, because the exoneration process is not concerned with their suffering.265 Many feel ignored, cast aside, and, like the exonerees, without the resources to cope with overwhelming and wildly conflicting emotions.”266 Some learn, to their horror, that the true perpetrator went on to commit other horrific crimes and feel a sense of profound guilt and responsibility.267

3. The Risk to Public Safety

According to the Innocence Project, 362 people have been exonerated by DNA evidence since 1989.268 The group has identified 158 actual perpetrators in those cases who went on to commit “150 additional violent crimes, including 80 sexual assaults, 35 murders, and 35 other violent crimes while the innocent sat behind bars for their earlier offenses.”269 The Innocence Project tracks only DNA exonerations.270 When non-DNA wrongful conviction cases are included, the number of victims increases. The Better Government Association and the Center on Wrongful Convictions examined eighty-five wrongful conviction cases in the State of Illinois between 1989 and 2010 and concluded that the actual perpetrators went on to commit nearly 100 additional documented crimes.271

264. BAZELON, supra note 46, at 94; see also Telephone Interview with Christy Sheppard (June 21, 2015) (on file with author) (recounting that she and her family learned the details of the exoneration of Ron Williamson and Dennis Fritz, the two men convicted of murdering her cousin, in the courtroom on the day that they were exonerated).

265. Janet Burke was raped at the daycare center where she worked early in the morning on January 3, 1984. Bazelion, Justice After Injustice, supra note 261. Burke mistakenly identified the rapist as Thomas Haynesworth. Id. So did three other women who were assaulted around the same time period. See id. Burke testified against Haynesworth, who was convicted of her rape, two other rapes, and sentenced to seventy-four years in prison. Id. In 2009, the police came to Burke’s home to tell her that DNA evidence exonerated Haynesworth and that the actual rapist was a man named Leon Davis, Jr. Id. Burke experienced a wave of emotions: denial, nausea, panic, fear. Id.

266. BAZELON, supra note 46, at 94-95; see also Bazelion, Justice After Injustice, supra note 261.

267. See, e.g., BAZELON, supra note 46, at 99; Telephone Interview with Sarah (June 26, 2016) (on file with author) (describing how, after learning that DNA evidence exonerated Thomas Webb, the man she mistakenly identified as her rapist, the police informed her that the true rapist was a man named Gilbert Duane Harris, and stating “I can’t lay the blame on anyone but myself”).


269. Id.


The scholar James R. Acker provided detailed narratives in twenty cases of wrongful conviction across a number of jurisdictions where the true perpetrator went on to rape, rob, and murder other innocent people.272 Four of these cases—twenty percent—involved innocence denying by prosecutors.273 Acker wrote:

The capsule descriptions offered in the twenty cases discussed in this article are hopelessly inadequate to capture the devastation worked on the lives of those known victims. They also are incapable of even hinting at the pain and suffering experienced by the multitude of unknown victims of offenders who have escaped justice in cases resulting in the wrongful conviction of others.274

4. The Loss of Confidence in the Integrity of the System
Wrongful convictions undermine the confidence in the integrity of the criminal justice system.275 For those who participated unwittingly in some way—as witnesses, jurors, or law enforcement acting in good faith—the impact is direct and visceral. They feel guilty, horrified, and angry at the system that betrayed them.276 If the exposure and correction of the wrongful conviction is prolonged by prosecutorial innocence denying, these secondary actors can lose faith in the system entirely.277

When Peter Forcelli worked as a New York City Police Department detective, he was assigned to investigate the 1995 murder of a former police officer who had been shot while trying to stop a robbery carried out by multiple men.278 Two eyewitnesses identified the same man from a selection of mug shots: Edward Garry.279 There was no other evidence against Garry, but at the time, Forcelli said, “identification was like the gold standard.”280 The Bronx District Attorney’s Office prosecuted Garry, who was convicted in 1997 and sentenced to twenty-five years

273. Id. at 1644-45, 1667, 1669, 1685, 1687. Those cases involve the exoneration of Kennedy Brewer, Jeffrey Deskovic, the Dixmoor Five, and the Central Park Five—a total of twelve men who languished for additional years in prison due to the refusal by prosecutors to agree to their release once the evidence of their innocence became overwhelming. See id. at 1645, 1668, 1685, 1688; see also infra text accompanying note 349; infra App. A.
274. Acker, supra note 35, at 1711.
276. See, e.g., BAZELON, supra note 46, at 3-4; Clifford, An Ex-Cop’s Remorse, supra note 275.
277. See infra text accompanying notes 289-93.
278. Clifford, An Ex-Cop’s Remorse, supra note 275.
279. Id.
280. Id.
to life, based on the testimony of the two eyewitnesses and a jailhouse informant.\footnote{281. Id.} Forcelli was rewarded with a Detective of the Month honor.\footnote{282. Id.}

Two decades later, new evidence came to light, including a confession from two of the perpetrators who helped to identify the shooter as a man named Steven Martinez, who looked very similar to Garry.\footnote{283. Id.} The Bronx District Attorney’s Office continued to insist that Garry was guilty and, according to his lawyers, fought his release “tooth and nail.”\footnote{284. Id.} Forcelli said: “I was irate, like, How is this guy still in jail? This isn’t really very gray.”\footnote{285. Id.}

In 2017, a Bronx judge overturned Garry’s conviction, citing “evidence of possible third-party culpability [that] was exculpatory in nature . . .”\footnote{286. Stephanie Clifford, A Break, but No Freedom Yet, for a Bronx Man Convicted in a Shaky Murder Case, NEW YORKER (Mar. 24, 2017), https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/a-break-but-no-freedom-yet-for-a-bronx-man-convicted-in-a-shaky-murder-case [hereinafter Clifford, No Freedom Yet].} But Bronx County District Attorney Darcel Clark continued to insist that Garry was guilty.\footnote{287. Id. (“So why has the D.A.’s office dug its heels in on this case? Its fierce opposition to clearing Garry’s name illustrates a difficulty inherent in seeking justice for wrongful convictions: the offices that seek convictions are, years later, the same ones tasked with fairly assessing whether they might have been wrong.”).} In 2018, Clark’s office retried Garry for the murder.\footnote{288. Stephanie Clifford, After Decades in Prison, Edward Garry is Finally Cleared of a Murder He Didn’t Commit, NEW YORKER (Feb. 6, 2018), https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/after-decades-in-prison-edward-garry-cleared-of-a-murder-he-didnt-commit [hereinafter Clifford, Edward Garry Cleared].} Forcelli, “increasingly furious with a system that seemed unable to correct a mistake,” testified for the defense, and the jury acquitted Garry after deliberating for less than thirty minutes.\footnote{289. Id.} Garry’s father told a reporter that the prosecutors “were blind to the ‘damage’ they’d done to Garry and his family. ‘Will he be able to be normal again? He’s been in the cage for twenty-three years. I don’t think so.”\footnote{290. Id.}

Forcelli is not alone in his frustration, anger, and disbelief at innocence-denying prosecutors. After Anthony Wright’s retrial, the forewoman told the media: “I’m angry that this case was ever re-tried, but thrilled that we were able to release Tony from this nightmare of

\begin{footnotes}
\footnotetext[281]{281. Id.}
\footnotetext[282]{282. Id.}
\footnotetext[283]{283. Id.}
\footnotetext[284]{284. Id. (internal quotation marks omitted).}
\footnotetext[285]{285. Id.}
\footnotetext[287]{287. Id. (“So why has the D.A.’s office dug its heels in on this case? Its fierce opposition to clearing Garry’s name illustrates a difficulty inherent in seeking justice for wrongful convictions: the offices that seek convictions are, years later, the same ones tasked with fairly assessing whether they might have been wrong.”).}
\footnotetext[289]{289. Id.}
\footnotetext[290]{290. Clifford, No Freedom Yet, supra note 286.}
\end{footnotes}
twenty-five years." Before the trial, Shannon Coleman, the victim’s
grandniece, wrote in an email to one of the top prosecutors in the
Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office, pleading with her to dismiss
the charges:

> It is my understanding that as a prosecutor you have an ethical
> responsibility to only take to trial cases that you believe in beyond a
> reasonable doubt. (The charging standard should be the prosecutor’s
> reasonable belief that the charges can be substantiated by admissible
evidence at trial.) You told me more than once during our conversation
> that you had doubt! 

Jurors have spoken publicly about their anguish at having
participated, however unwittingly, in a wrongful conviction, expressing
disillusionment and rage at learning that the system is unfair and
unreliable. The refusal by prosecutors to admit to miscarriages of
justice and their fight against efforts to correct them only compounds the
anger, trauma, mistrust, and loss of faith the jurors—along with the
original crime victims, family members, and other stakeholders in the
system, such as Forcelli—experience.

5. The Financial Costs

Thirty-two states and the District of Columbia have statutes that
provide for monetary compensation for the wrongfully convicted. Lawsuits
filed by exonerees alleging police and prosecutorial misconduct and demanding compensation for the emotional, physical,
and financial devastation of their confinement have resulted in seven-
figure verdicts and settlements. In seventeen documented cases of

---

291. Solotaroff, supra note 64.
292. E-mail from Shannon Coleman to Jennifer Selber, Assistant District Attorney (July 15,
2016, 11:03 PM) (on file with author).
293. Carol Bohls, Velma Diamond & Liz Roland, Jurors Regret Convicting Innocent Man,
regret-convicting-innocent-man-1723076.php (describing their votes to convict two innocent men
and stating “[w]e still share with family and friends the resounding negative impact this experience
has had on our lives and our opinions of the criminal justice system”); D’Shean Kennedy, I Sent an
Innocent Man to Prison, MARSHALL PROJECT (Feb. 22, 2018, 10:00 PM),
https://www.themarshallproject.org/2018/02/22/i-sent-an-innocent-man-to-prison (describing his
“sunken feeling” when he learned that Kia Stewart, the man he convicted, was innocent, and stating
“I was overwhelmed, and sad for Kia”).
295. See, e.g., Emily Alpert Reyes & Matt Lait, L.A. to Pay $24 Million to Two Men
Imprisoned for Decades After Wrongful Murder Convictions, L.A. TIMES (Jan. 19, 2016, 7:43 PM),
that the Los Angeles City Council paid exoneree Kash Delano Register $16.7 million to settle his
federal civil rights lawsuit filed after he served thirty-four years for a murder he did not commit and
$7.6 million to exoneree Bruce Lisker to settle his federal rights lawsuit after determining “that
innocence denying by prosecutors throughout the U.S., exonerates were awarded more than $68 million under state compensation laws after bringing lawsuits that either settled or resulted in favorable jury verdicts.\footnote{See Lara Bazelon, Seventeen Cases of Denied Innocence, SLATE (Jan. 10, 2018, 11:30 AM), https://slate.com/news-and-politics/2018/01/innocence-deniers-seventeen-cases-of-prosecutors-fighting-exoneration.html [hereinafter Bazelon, Seventeen Cases of Denied Innocence].}

In Texas, for example, exonerates are entitled to $80,000 for every year of wrongful imprisonment.\footnote{Wrongfully Convicted Get $80,000 a Year in Texas, NBC NEWS, http://www.nbcnews.com/id/32692376/ns/us_news-life/t/wrongly-convicted-get-year-texas/#.XCozlsqZ9T (last updated Sept. 4, 2009).} Over a twenty-five-year period, the State paid nearly $94 million to 101 innocent men and women who had spent years, and sometimes decades, in prison.\footnote{Johnathan Silver & Lindsay Carbonell, Wrongful Convictions Have Cost Texans More than $93 Million, TEX. TRIB. (June 24, 2016, 6:00 AM), https://www.texastribune.org/2016/06/24/wrongful-convictions-cost-texans-over-93-million.} In some of those cases—for example, the wrongful conviction of Michael Morton—innocence denying by prosecutors greatly extended the length of the incarceration.\footnote{Michael Morton spent twenty-five years in prison after he was falsely convicted of the murder of his wife. Pamela Colloff, The Innocent Man, Part Two, TEX. MONTHLY (Dec. 2012), https://www.texashistory.unt.edu/ark:/67531/metadc596162/.} According to the study by the Better Government Association and the Center on Wrongful Convictions, “Illinois reported that city, county, and state funds totaling $155.9 million had been paid through 2010 to exonerates through settlements and judgments reached in lawsuits,” and that the number was expected to nearly double when similar pending litigation was resolved.\footnote{Id.}

Then there are the costs the taxpayers bear for locking up innocent people. According to a 2012 study conducted by the Vera Institute of Justice, the average cost of incarcerating a single prisoner for one year
was $31,286.\textsuperscript{301} In some states, the yearly cost is far higher. In New York City, it is $167,731,\textsuperscript{302} and in California, it is $75,560—or, as the Associated Press put it, more than the cost of a year’s tuition at Harvard University.\textsuperscript{303}

Eight of the ten men featured in Part II.A of this Article have filed federal civil rights lawsuits.\textsuperscript{304} Kelley and Lee, who were more recently exonerated, are likely to do the same.\textsuperscript{305} The City of Philadelphia settled with Wright for nearly $10 million,\textsuperscript{306} and the State of Michigan has paid Sanford more than $400,000 under its wrongful compensation statute.\textsuperscript{307} Based on the jury verdicts and settlements in similar cases, when these lawsuits are resolved, it is likely that the monetary damages will soar.\textsuperscript{308} Acker has written that additional costs, which include “psychological counseling, education job-training, and other post-release services; and the property damage, medical and mental health care expenses, and lost wages suffered by the victims of the true offenders’ new crimes . . . must surely total in the hundreds of millions or billions of dollars when aggregated.”\textsuperscript{309}

### III. The Dominant Narrative of the Tough-on-Crime, Win-at-All-Costs Fighter

Why does innocence denying happen? While there are many explanations, the misalignment between a prosecutor’s truth-seeking mission, as set forth in the code of ethics, and a prosecutor’s incentives as a political actor provides fertile ground for innocence denying to take root.

\textsuperscript{301} Marc Santora, City’s Annual Cost Per Inmate is $168,000, Study Finds, N.Y. TIMES, Aug. 24, 2013, at A16, https://www.nytimes.com/2013/08/24/nyregion/citys-annual-cost-per-inmate-is-nearly-168000-study-says.html (noting that the Vera Institute used data from the forty states that participated in the study).

\textsuperscript{302} Id. (citing a 2013 study conducted by the Independent Budget Office).


\textsuperscript{304} See supra note 244 (listing the federal civil rights lawsuits filed by the exonerees).

\textsuperscript{305} See Possley, Eric Kelley, supra note 219 (stating that both Kelley and Lee have filed notices of intent to sue the City of Paterson).

\textsuperscript{306} Rolen, supra note 62.

\textsuperscript{307} Hunter, supra note 191.

\textsuperscript{308} See Bazelon, Seventeen Cases of Denied Innocence, supra note 296. The monetary settlements for the individuals who were compensated can be found on the exonerations pages kept for each individual by the National Registry of Exonерations. NAT’L REGISTRY EXONERATIONS, supra note 296.

\textsuperscript{309} Acker, supra note 35, at 1708-09 (footnotes omitted).
A. The Tough-on-Crime Prototype

In 1935, the U.S. Supreme Court famously stated that prosecutors must be ministers of justice who are equally committed to protecting the innocent and holding the guilty accountable.310 The late Supreme Court Justice William O. Douglas invoked that long-settled precedent nearly forty years later, stating: "The function of the prosecutor under the Federal Constitution is not to tack as many skins of victims as possible to the wall. His function is to vindicate the right of people as expressed in the laws and give those accused of crime a fair trial."311

A prosecutor's duty to be "a minister of justice" is codified in the ethical rules, including the American Bar Association's ("ABA") Model Rules of Professional Conduct,312 which "[s]tate bar associations either adopt or adapt."313 The ABA's Model Rule of Professional Conduct 3.8 sets standards for prosecutors to abide by in exercising their discretion, using their investigative power, disclosing evidence, publicly commenting on cases, and observing the constitutional rights of the accused.314 In 2008, the ABA added sections (g) and (h) to Model Rule 3.8, which address a prosecutor's post-conviction responsibilities.315 Section (g) requires prosecutors to "promptly disclose," to the court and to the defendant, "new, credible and material evidence creating a reasonable likelihood that a convicted defendant" is innocent, and to undertake additional investigation into the newly discovered evidence.316 Section (h) obligates "a prosecutor [who] knows of clear and convincing evidence" of a defendant's innocence to "seek to remedy the conviction."317 Sections (g) and (h) have been adopted, with some variations, by seventeen states, and an additional five states are considering its adoption.318

310. Berger v. United States, 295 U.S. 78, 88 (1935) ("[A prosecutor's] interest, therefore in a criminal prosecution is not that it shall win a case, but that justice shall be done.").
312. MODEL RULES OF PROF'L CONDUCT r. 3.8 cmt. 1 (AM. BAR ASS'N 2016).
314. MODEL RULES OF PROF'L CONDUCT r. 3.8(h)-(h).
315. Id. r. 3.8(g)-(h); Michele K. Muslhausen, A Second Chance at Justice: Why States Should Adopt ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct 3.8(g) and (h), 81 U. COLO. L. REV. 309, 316-19 (2010).
316. MODEL RULES OF PROF'L CONDUCT r. 3.8(g).
317. Id. r. 3.8(h).
But many prosecutors would rather be known for accumulating a long track record of convictions than for vindicating the innocent.\footnote{See, e.g., Jane Campbell Moriarty, "Misconvictions," Science, and the Ministers of Justice, 86 NEB. L. REV. 1, 23 (2007) ("[P]rotecting the innocent from conviction does not stand on equal footing with convicting the guilty—it is doubtful that any elected prosecutor campaigned on the notion of cases he did not prosecute.").}\footnote{GODSEY, supra note 16, at 3.}\footnote{GODSEY, supra note 16, at 9.}\footnote{Id. at 3.} Mark Godsey, now the director of the Ohio Innocence Project, spent several years working as a self-described “hard-nosed prosecutor” in the United States Attorney’s Office for the Southern District of New York—one of the country’s most elite offices.\footnote{See, e.g., id. at 76-77 (describing the competitive atmosphere of prosecutor’s offices).} When Godsey switched roles and began representing convicted prisoners whom he believed to have credible claims of innocence, he encountered knee-jerk opposition by state prosecutors whom he believed to be engaging in innocence denying.\footnote{GODSEY, supra note 16, at 18.} Godsey began to reflect on his prosecutorial career, concluding: “I engaged in this same type of conduct and had the same mindset that I now know causes tragic injustices.”\footnote{MEDWED, supra note 3, at 127.} Godsey later wrote a book, Blind Injustice, in which he explored the factors that cause prosecutors—on both state and federal levels—to deviate from their roles as ministers of justice.\footnote{Aviva Orenstein has theorized that some offices fall prey to “groupthink,” which she defines as “a dynamic within an organization whereby members of a deeply cohesive group ‘minimize conflict and reach consensus’” without allowing their viewpoints to be subjected to critical analysis or opposing ideas.\footnote{Orenstein, supra note 42, at 427 (quoting Groupthink, WIKIPEDIA, https://scholarlycommons.law.hofstra.edu/hlr/vol47/iss2/3}}

There is a rich literature that plumbs the psychological depths of innocence denying, which Daniel Medwed in his book Prosecution Complex ascribes to: “(1) cognitive biases; (2) resource constraints; (3) concerns for finality; (4) political realities; and (5) the lack of firm ethical obligations.”\footnote{See, e.g., supra note 3, at 9.} Godsey lists the “[t]hree psychological factors [that] combine to cause good people to create this kind of ‘evil’ outcome in our criminal justice system: cognitive dissonance, administrative evil, and dehumanization.”\footnote{GODSEY, supra note 16, at 9.} Alafair Burke has described how some prosecutors refuse to let go of their original theory of guilt, even after new evidence has eviscerated it, because processing the horror of participating in or perpetuating a wrongful conviction is simply too much to bear.\footnote{Burke, supra note 5, at 10.} Aviva Orenstein has theorized that some offices fall prey to “groupthink,” which she defines as “a dynamic within an organization whereby members of a deeply cohesive group ‘minimize conflict and reach consensus’” without allowing their viewpoints to be subjected to critical analysis or opposing ideas.\footnote{Orenstein, supra note 42, at 427 (quoting Groupthink, WIKIPEDIA, https://scholarlycommons.law.hofstra.edu/hlr/vol47/iss2/3)}
I argue that the major motivating factor underlying innocence denying, which operates both at a conscious and subconscious level, is the intense pressure prosecutors feel to “win,” which has traditionally been defined as accumulating a long track record of convictions. Overwhelmingly, prosecutors strive to appear “tough on crime.” The vast majority of prosecutors are elected, and the tough-on-crime image is a winning message with the voters. The public expects swift justice, with the perpetrators arrested, prosecuted, and sent away in short order. Success in many prosecutor offices is defined by one’s win-loss ratio and the sheer number of convictions obtained overall. Jack McMahon, who worked as a prosecutor in Philadelphia from 1978 until 1990, stated in an interview:

I fell into this psychological position of everything on the other side of the table is evil and everything on my side of the table is good. I lived and breathed it 24/7. . . . What passes for success is winning. I moved up rapidly within the trial division because I was winning. I got into major crimes, into homicides, because I won. The guys who didn’t win, they didn’t go to homicide. . . . The theoretical premium is justice but the real premium is winning and at times, winning at all costs so justice gets lost at times.

Although federal prosecutors are not elected, but rather appointed, Godsey wrote of feeling the same pressure at the U.S. Attorney’s Office,
where he was surrounded by competitive colleagues and supervisors.\(^{334}\) To get ahead, it was important to be “aggressive with your cases” and to be seen as a “prosecutor’s prosecutor.”\(^{335}\) He continued: “If you lost more than a case or two in a short time period, people would start questioning your competence or dedication.”\(^{336}\)

The tough-on-crime platform is an easier brand to promote than vindicator-of-innocence.\(^{337}\) Conceding a person’s innocence means conceding a mistake—often a terrible cascade of mistakes—or worse, admitting to incompetence, rule-breaking, and even corruption.\(^{338}\) Prosecutors associate this kind of mea culpa with weakness and incompetence.\(^{339}\) As Medwed notes, “[c]onceding a past mistake could dull a chief prosecutor’s tough-on-crime shine, and perhaps even call into question his fitness for the post. How many other innocent folks has this person put away? Is he capable of getting the bad guys?”\(^{340}\) So long as “winning” is defined as increasing one’s conviction rate and “losing” is defined as opening the prison gates (if only to release an innocent person), the incentives to engage in innocence denying will remain.\(^{341}\)

\textit{B. Affirmation of Prototype in the Election of Donald Trump and the Appointment of Jeff Sessions as Attorney General}

Today, innocence denying is sanctioned at the highest levels of government, starting with the current President of the United States.\(^{342}\) For more than twenty years, Donald J. Trump has stood fast in insisting on the guilt of the five Black and Latino teenagers who were convicted of raping and nearly killing a young white woman known as the Central Park jogger in New York City in 1989.\(^{343}\) The evidence against the defendants, who became known as the Central Park Five, was based on confessions they claimed were coerced—they had inculpated themselves

---

334. GODSEY, supra note 16, at 76.  
335. Id. (internal quotation marks omitted).  
336. Id.  
337. See MEDWED, supra note 3, at 130.  
338. See id.  
339. Id.  
340. Id.  
341. See id.; see also Jonathan Simon, Beyond Tough on Crime: Towards a Better Politics of Prosecution, in PROSECUTORS AND DEMOCRACY: A CROSS-NATIONAL STUDY 250, 265-66 (Maximo Langer & David Alan Sklansky eds., 2017) (arguing that some prosecutors have adopted a mentality that elevates fairness above cultivating a tough-on-crime image).  
342. See infra text accompanying notes 358-67.  
after being interrogated for hours without a parent or lawyer present and denied food and sleep.\footnote{344}

After their arrests, Trump, then a celebrity real estate developer, took out full-page ads in four New York City newspapers clamoring for the return of the death penalty.\footnote{345} The claims by the Central Park Five that their confessions were false fell on deaf ears. In 1990, the Manhattan District Attorney’s Office secured convictions against all of them.\footnote{346}

Eleven years later, Kharey Wise, one of the defendants, met an inmate named Matias Reyes in prison.\footnote{347} Reyes confessed to Wise that he alone had raped and beaten the Central Park jogger, a detailed and accurate confession that was corroborated when semen from the rape kit proved a match to Reyes.\footnote{348} The Central Park Five were exonerated in 2002 after serving a collective forty years in prison.\footnote{349}

In 2014, New York City settled a lawsuit brought by the Central Park Five for $41 million, roughly $1 million for each year that each man had spent behind bars.\footnote{350} Trump wrote an op-ed calling the settlement “a disgrace” and asserting that the exonerees “do not exactly have the pasts of angels,” despite the fact that none of them had been arrested before.\footnote{351}


\footnote{345. Burns, supra note 344.}


\footnote{347. Sorkin, supra note 343.}

\footnote{348. Id.}

\footnote{349. Id.}


\footnote{351. Donald Trump, Opinion, *Donald Trump: Central Park Five Settlement is a ‘Disgrace’*, N.Y. DAILY NEWS (June 21, 2014), https://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/nyc-crime/donald-trump-central-park-settlement-disgrace-article-1.1838467; see also Greene & Boyer, supra note 350; Sorkin, supra note 343. Trump was joined in his outrage by the Manhattan District Attorney’s Office, which had secured the convictions and publicly stated that “the settlement was a mistake.” Greene & Boyer, supra note 350.}
Campaigning for the presidency in October 2016, Trump tripled down, invoking the defendants’ discredited confessions and saying: “The fact that that case was settled with so much evidence against them is outrageous.”\(^{352}\) Trump’s disavowal of the established innocence of the Central Park Five made national headlines.\(^{353}\) Ken Burns, who made a film about the case, tweeted in response: “Apparently Mr. Trump is unfamiliar with the concept of wrongful conviction.”\(^{354}\)

Then-U.S. Senator Jeff Sessions, a Republican from the State of Alabama and one of Trump’s earliest and most vocal supporters, heralded Trump’s remarks as evidence that Trump was the candidate who would bring back law and order to America’s streets.\(^{355}\) Asked about Trump’s infamous 1990 “bring back the death penalty” advertisements, Sessions had nothing but admiration.\(^{356}\) He marveled: “How many people in New York, that liberal bastion, were willing to do something like that?"\(^{357}\)

In Trump’s inaugural address on January 20, 2018, he hewed to his tough-on-crime theme, decrying the lawlessness that had “stolen too many lives,” and promising: “This American carnage stops right here and stops right now.”\(^{358}\) After Trump was elected, he nominated Sessions to be the Attorney General of the United States.\(^{359}\)

Once installed in his post as the nation’s top law enforcement officer, Sessions disbanded the National Commission on Forensic Science ("NCFS"), “a roughly 30-member advisory panel of scientists, judges, crime lab leaders, prosecutors and defense lawyers” established by the Obama Administration in 2013.\(^{360}\) The NCFS’s mission was to

---


353. See, e.g., Benjy Sarlin, Donald Trump Says Central Park Five Are Guilty, Despite DNA Evidence, NBC NEWS (Oct. 7, 2016, 6:07 PM), https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/2016-election/donald-trump-says-central-park-five-are-guilty-despite-dna-n661941 ("Wading into a racially-charged case from his past, Donald Trump indicated that the 'Central Park Five' were guilty, despite being officially exonerated by DNA evidence decades after a notorious 1989 rape case.").

354. Holmes, supra note 352.


357. Id.


360. Spencer S. Hsu, Sessions Moves to Shut Down Partnership on Forensic Science Standards, WASH. POST, Apr. 11, 2017, at A9 [hereinafter Hsu, Sessions to Shut Down
closely examine the basis for forensic expert testimony that had proved faulty and led to wrongful convictions. The members were tasked with issuing standards to guide lab technicians, experts, and prosecutors to reduce the number of cases where evidence was improperly analyzed, not disclosed, or described to the jury in misleading ways that overstated its significance. Innocence movement advocates and many scientists decried Sessions’s decision. Suzanne Bell, a professor of chemistry and forensic investigative science and former member of the NCFS, wrote that returning oversight of faulty forensic practices to the Department of Justice was a mistake: “We need a science agency—equally and completely free from both defense and prosecutorial pressures—to address the scientific issues in forensic science.” Peter Neufeld, the co-founder of the Innocence Project, stated that Sessions “has literally decided to suspend the search for the truth…. As a consequence innocent people will languish in prison or, God forbid, could be executed.” Hard-line prosecutors celebrated. Michael Ramos, the president of the National District Attorney’s Association—the same prosecutor who had fought the release of exoneree William Richards after his conviction was found to have been based on discredited


362. See Hsu, Sessions to Shut Down Partnership, supra note 360 (reporting that Sessions “would not renew” the commission for another term). NCFS was created in the wake of a number of DNA exonerations where prosecutors had relied on expert forensic testimony to secure the original conviction. For example, prosecutors had experts testify that a hair found at the crime scene, when examined under a microscope, had a high likelihood of matching a hair from the defendant when in fact there was no scientific basis for that testimony. See Spencer S. Hsu, FBI Admits Errors at Trials, WASH. POST, Apr. 19, 2015, at A1; see also Alan Pyke, Sessions Relaunches Obama-Era Forensics Review Months After He Shuttered It, THINK PROGRESS (Aug. 8, 2017, 9:04 AM), https://thinkprogress.org/session-relaunches-obama-era-forensics-review-months-after-he-shuttered-it-bfca916a0af0 (“[The Department of Justice] found that FBI experts had overstated the reach of hair and fiber forensics to juries in hundreds of cases over a matter of decades, including in more than two dozen death penalty cases.”). Other forensic evidence that has been called into question or discredited outright includes bite mark and ballistics evidence. Dunlap, supra note 361.


364. Hsu, Sessions to Shut Down Partnership, supra note 360 (internal quotation marks omitted).

bite-mark evidence—trumpeted the decision as a victory for law enforcement.

In August 2017, Sessions announced the formation of a Forensic Science Working Group ("FSWG"), which he said would continue the work of the NCFS. But unlike the NCFS, which was led by independent scientists and held public meetings, Sessions’s new agency was "an internal Department [of Justice] working group" helmed by a prosecutor. The FSWG has not issued any new standards to ensure the reliability of forensic testimony given by government experts in criminal cases and prevent wrongful convictions, which is the project that NCFS had embarked upon when Sessions declined to renew its charter.

C. Historical Lack of Consequences for Innocence Deniers

Innocence denying rarely has negative consequences. To the contrary, many innocence deniers receive awards, accolades, and opportunities to ascend to higher posts in the criminal justice system hierarchy. A look at the biographies of the innocence-denying prosecutors featured in Part II.A proves the point.

In 2017, Wayne County Prosecuting Attorney Kym Worthy was named to Essence Magazine’s “Woke 100 List” to honor her efforts to “blaz[e] trails for equal rights and inclusion for Black people in America.” Worthy received this recognition despite her refusal to

366. See supra Part II.A.3.
367. Spencer S. Hsu, Science Organizations Renew Call for Independent U.S. Committee on Forensics, WASH. POST (June 29, 2017), https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/public-safety/science-organizations-renew-call-for-independent-us-committee-on-forensics/2017/06/28/3ab8cdea-5b6a-1le7-9b7d-14576dc0f39d_story.html?utm_term=.95877a484dac (stating that Ramos “rejected the idea that law enforcement conflicts of interest contributed to gaps in standards and research” and “hailed the end” of the NCFS).
368. Pyke, supra note 362.
369. Id.
371. See Alafair S. Burke, Talking About Prosecutors, 31 CARDOZO L. REV. 2119, 2120 (2010) ("Just as the prosecutor who gambles with the non-disclosure of exculpatory evidence to maintain a high conviction rate takes little risk with regard to her caseload, she is also unlikely to pay little price personally.").
372. See supra Part II.A.
acknowledge the innocence of Davontae Sanford and Lamarr Monson, both of whom are Black.\textsuperscript{374}

San Bernardino District Attorney Michael Ramos was elected president of the National District Attorney’s Association in July 2016, several months after the California Supreme Court overturned the false conviction of William Richards, which Ramos had fought for years to preserve.\textsuperscript{375}

Pima County Prosecutor Barbara LaWall, who extracted a no contest plea from Louis Taylor, proudly touts her Minister of Justice Award from the Criminal Justice Section of the ABA and boasts that she has also been honored by “other organizations for consistently adhering to the principles of ethical and just prosecution . . . .”\textsuperscript{376}

In the fall of 2014, Orleans Parish District Attorney Leon Cannizzaro received the Director’s Award for Distinguished Service to the Law Enforcement Community.\textsuperscript{377} The honor, bestowed by then-FBI Director James Comey at a ceremony in Washington, D.C., came several months after Cannizzaro appealed the overturning of Jerome Morgan’s false murder conviction.\textsuperscript{378}

In 2016, Passaic County District Attorney Camelia Valdes, who steadfastly denied the innocence of Eric Kelley and Ralph Lee, received the Latina Attorney of the Year Award from the Hispanic National Bar Association at its annual conference dedicated to “[e]mpowering [l]eaders.”\textsuperscript{379} Valdes was among a handful of honorees that the organization decided to honor for “serv[ing] as . . . role models to us all.”\textsuperscript{380}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{374} See supra Part II.A.5. \\
\textsuperscript{376} Letter from Barbara LaWall, Pima Cty. Attorney, Pima County Attorney’s Office, to Matt Redle, Chair, Criminal Justice Council of ABA (Oct. 26, 2016) (on file with author). \\
\textsuperscript{378} See id.; see also Possley, Jerome Morgan, supra note 76. \\
\textsuperscript{380} Id.
\end{flushright}
Before his criminal conviction and political downfall, Philadelphia District Attorney R. Seth Williams was awarded a prestigious Rodel Fellowship at the Aspen Institute, given annually to twenty-four of the country’s “most promising political leaders.” Williams received the award in 2010, in the midst of his battle to prevent Anthony Wright from obtaining access to DNA testing.

To end innocence denying, the system must stop rewarding the prosecutors who do it and properly condemn their actions. At the same time, the system must honor the prosecutors who help to exonerate the wrongfully convicted because they are fulfilling their obligation to seek justice. As Medwed wrote: “The practical and political realities need to change if the minister-of-justice ideal is to achieve even a modest foothold in the post-conviction turf.” In recent years, there have been stirrings of reform, albeit tentative and concentrated in just a few jurisdictions. The strongest indication that the political and practical realities may be changing is the rise of the number of CIUs within prosecutor offices which are designed to re-examine cases of claimed wrongful convictions and exonerate those who have credible claims of innocence. The impact of these units is discussed in the next section.

IV. THE RISE OF THE COUNTER-NARRATIVE

A. Conviction Integrity Units: Prosecutor as Truth-Seeking Hero

According to a report released by the National Registry of Exonerations in 2018, a total of 269 innocent people have been released through the cooperative efforts of prosecutors assigned to CIUs or CRUs. These integrity units, set up at the discretion of the county’s chief prosecutor, are supposed to take an objective look at old cases where there is credible evidence of a possible wrongful conviction.
Texas has been a role model when it comes to CIUs. The first one, established by former Dallas District Attorney Craig Watkins in 2007, had a hand in more than two dozen exonerations. To ensure that the unit was truly independent, Watkins, an African-American Democrat who campaigned on a platform of criminal justice reform, hired a well-respected criminal defense attorney, Mike Ware, to run it.

In 2014, the CIU in Harris County, Texas, overseen by white female Republican District Attorney Devon Anderson uncovered scores of old cases in which defendants had pleaded guilty to crimes that did not occur. In many cases, the county had obtained a narcotics conviction based on field tests performed by police officers, a method so unreliable as to “routinely misidentify everything from Jolly Ranchers to soap to cat litter as illegal drugs,” according to the National Registry of Exoneration’s report. When results from the crime laboratory—which trickled in long after the cases had concluded—proved the items seized from these defendants were innocuous, the CIU took the legal steps necessary to undo the convictions. At last count, the total number of such crimes had risen to 133. In 2016, Anderson was unseated by Kim Ogg, a white Democrat who has made her commitment to the CIU clear. Speaking at a criminal justice forum in February 2018, Ogg said


388. HOLLWAY, QUATTRONE REPORT, supra note 386, at 14; Forsyth & Eaton, supra note 387.


391. Exonerations in 2016, supra note 11, at 9 (reporting that, “[i]n 94 of all 140 drug crime exonerations in Harris County,” the initial arrests were based on “‘field tests’ that indicated the presence of controlled substances”).

392. Id. at 8-9.

393. Exonerations in 2017, supra note 28, at 5 (listing the total number of drug possession exonerations, from 2015 to 2017, that were the results of faulty lab tests).

"we’re responsible for cases forever, and to ensure the integrity of convictions forever." In 2017, Ogg’s CIU exonerated ten people.

Perhaps the best-known CRU was run by Kenneth Thompson, the first African-American district attorney of Brooklyn, New York. Thompson, a Democrat who took office in 2013, served only three years before dying of cancer in October 2016. But in that short time, he built a remarkable record. Thompson told his top deputy, Eric Gonzalez, to reach out to Ronald Sullivan, Jr., a Harvard Law School professor and former public defender, to design a unit staffed by prosecutors who would independently investigate credible claims of innocence, publicly admit to mistakes and misconduct, and adopt practices designed to avoid wrongful convictions in the future. In its first year, the unit freed ten people. At the time of Thompson’s death, the total stood at twenty-three. After Thompson’s death, Gonzalez then ran for Thompson’s seat in 2017 and won, with an endorsement from the New York Times which noted that he “took the lead” in making the CRU a success. Within weeks of taking office, Gonzalez agreed to the exoneration of Innocence Project client Mark Denny, who served nearly thirty years in prison after he was falsely convicted of rape and robbery.

Sharen Wilson, the top prosecutor for Tarrant County, Texas, hired Dawn Boswell, a lawyer with extensive criminal defense experience, to

395. Prosecutors and Wrongful Convictions, supra note 394 (internal quotation marks omitted).
398. Id.
401. Schuppe, supra note 399.
402. Sullivan, supra note 400.
404. Brooklyn Man Exonerated, supra note 403.
run her new CIU.\textsuperscript{405} Wilson, a white Republican, took office in January 2015.\textsuperscript{406} In 2016, the Tarrant County CIU cooperated with the Innocence Project in reinvestigating the case of John Earl Nolley.\textsuperscript{407} That reinvestigation turned up a bloody palm print that did not match Nolley’s, as well as evidence that prosecutors had failed to turn over information that cast doubt on the credibility of witnesses, including a jailhouse informant.\textsuperscript{408} After Wilson’s office agreed that the conviction was tainted, a state court judge overturned the conviction, and Nolley was released on bond in May 2016.\textsuperscript{409} In 2018, the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals upheld the findings of the trial judge.\textsuperscript{410} Wilson told the media: “The Nolley case is a clear example of how, by addressing individual cases, CIUs can institute real reform and best practices for future cases.”\textsuperscript{411}

There are now thirty-three CIUs in prosecutor offices across the U.S.\textsuperscript{412} The effectiveness of the individual units, however, varies significantly.\textsuperscript{413} Eighty-four percent of CIU-related exonerations came from just four offices: Harris County, Dallas County, Kings County (Brooklyn), and Cook County (Chicago) in Illinois.\textsuperscript{414} Those jurisdictions use best practices: the units report directly to the top echelon of the office, are kept separate from the appellate and habeas units, employ former defense attorneys, and cooperate with opposing counsel to conduct a thorough and fair-minded reinvestigation.\textsuperscript{415}


\textsuperscript{408} With Consent of the Tarrant County District Attorney, Texas Court Frees Man Who Served 19 Years of a Life Sentence for Murder, \textit{INNOCENCE PROJECT} (May 17, 2016), https://www.innocenceproject.org/texas-court-frees-man-served-19-years-life-sentence-murder; see also Mitchell, supra note 407.

\textsuperscript{409} Mitchell, supra note 407.


\textsuperscript{411} Id. (internal quotation marks omitted).

\textsuperscript{412} Exonerations in 2017, supra note 28, at 11.

\textsuperscript{413} Conviction Integrity Units Grow; Few Exonerations, \textit{CRIME REP.} (Mar. 23, 2018), https://thecrimereport.org/2018/03/23/conviction-integrity-units-grow-few-exonerations (noting that twelve conviction review offices “have never exonerated a single person”).

\textsuperscript{414} Exonerations in 2017, supra note 28, at 12.

\textsuperscript{415} See HOLLWAY, QUATTRONE REPORT, supra note 386, at 23-26; Barry Scheck,
In some cases, the low number of exonerations is not surprising. For example, in Tarrant County, the unit is new to the work and is located within a smaller office. But many CRUs have been dismissed as "mere window dressing," or what the scholar John Hollway calls "Conviction Review Units in Name Only," or 'CRINOs.' Under Seth Williams, the Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office’s CRU was a CRNO. It opened to much fanfare in 2014, but attempts by innocence advocates to have the CRU take a hard look at their clients’ cases were ignored. More than two years after the CRU opened, it had not exonerated a single person, causing the Philadelphia Inquirer to run a searing exposé with the headline: “Justice on Hold: To Philly DA’s Conviction Review Unit, No One is Innocent.”

As discussed in the next section, the exposure of innocence denying and appointment of new leadership at the top has led to the overhaul of Philadelphia’s CRU. Meanwhile the number of such units nationwide continues to increase, with three new units opening in 2017. The growing support for CIUs suggests an evolving perception and shifting narrative of what it means to be a good prosecutor. Effective CIUs receive favorable coverage in the media, giving the heads of these offices valuable political capital and a record on which to run for reelection. Prosecutors who reflexively oppose reexamining false convictions, by contrast, may see themselves challenged by ambitious reform-minded candidates with “high-profile cases of error... held up as proof of a DA’s lack of competence (if not lack of morals).”

---

416. See Criminal Division, TARRANT Cnty., Tex., http://access.tarrantcounty.com/en/criminal-district-attorney/criminal-division.html?linklocation=Divisions&linkname=Criminal%20Division (last visited Feb. 3, 2019) (stating that the Criminal Division of the Tarrant County District Attorney’s Office has 135 attorneys on staff); Mitchell, supra note 410 (reporting that the Tarrant County CIU opened in 2015); see also Exonerations in 2016, supra note 11, at 13 (“Three CIUs began their operations in 2016 and need time to get underway.”).
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There is a striking diversity among prosecutors who have transparent, effective CRUs. They are Republicans and Democrats, men and women, Caucasian, Latino, and African-American, and they hail from different parts of the country. This diversity signals that CRUs have appeal across race, gender, party, and geographical lines.⁴²⁵ Tying the practice of vindicating innocence to a “good prosecutor” narrative challenges the truism that conceding error is weakness. As ethical obligations continue to pay political dividends, more prosecutors may embrace their minister of justice role. Conversely, the more innocence-denying prosecutors are exposed and depicted as “bad prosecutors,” the more likely it is that the electorate will view them as craven and undeserving of the power that was entrusted to them.

B. The Comeuppance Narrative

1. Seth Williams Replaced by Larry Krasner

Seth Williams, the Philadelphia District Attorney who re prosecuted Anthony Wright after DNA evidence pointed definitively to another man, was forced to withdraw his bid for reelection in February 2017 after becoming mired in a series of scandals.⁴²⁶ One of the

---


⁴²⁶. Holly Otterbein, Does D.A. Williams’ Withdrawal Mean Philly Democrats are Finally Learning?, PHILA. MAG. (Feb. 10, 2017, 1:06 PM), https://www.phillymag.com/news/2017/02/10/seth-williams-district-attorney-race. Less than two months later, the United States Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania brought a twenty-three-count indictment against Williams on charges that included bribery, extortion, and
candidates running to replace Williams was Larry Krasner, a former federal public defender and career civil rights attorney who had never prosecuted a criminal case and firmly opposed the death penalty.\footnote{427} When announcing his candidacy, Krasner promised to “decarcerate,” saying: “We have to get people out of jail. . . . I have heard more times than I care to count jokes [by prosecutors] around the notion that someone is actually innocent.”\footnote{428} The system, he said, was set up to abuse both defendants and victims, who were too often “manipulated to press for the prosecutors’ goals rather than theirs, and very often they have not been made whole.”\footnote{429}


\footnote{429} \textit{Id.} (internal quotation marks omitted).


a motion conceding error in the case of Dontia Patterson.\footnote{Christine Hauser, Philadelphia Man Freed After Serving 11 Years for Murder He Did Not Commit, N.Y. TIMES (May 16, 2018), https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/16/us/dontia-patterson-philadelphia.html.} Patterson, whose murder conviction had been vacated in March, was exonerated on May 16, 2018.\footnote{Id.} Krasner’s office conceded “egregious” prosecutorial misconduct and characterized Patterson as “probably innocent,” railroaded by a case “lacking in integrity.”\footnote{Id. (internal quotation marks omitted).}

2. Michael Ramos Replaced by Jason Anderson

Michael Ramos, the San Bernardino County prosecutor who filed charges against William Richards, ran for his fifth term in 2018.\footnote{Joe Nelson, San Bernardino County DA Seeks Fifth Term in Office; His Opponents Vow ‘Much Needed” Change, SAN BERNARDINO SUN (Feb. 24, 2018, 8:00 AM), https://www.sbsun.com/2018/02/24/san-bernardino-county-da-seeks-fifth-term-in-office-his-opponents-vow-much-needed-change [hereinafter Nelson, DA Seeks Fifth Term]; supra Part II.A.3.} His opponent, Jason Anderson, was a former prosecutor turned criminal defense attorney.\footnote{Joe Nelson, Colonies Corruption Case Cast Shadow, Big Money in San Bernardino County DA Race, SAN BERNARDINO SUN (May 15, 2018, 5:37 PM), https://www.sbsun.com/2018/05/15/big-money-backing-san-bernardino-county-district-attorneys-opponent-in-heated-campaign-race [hereinafter Nelson, Big Money in DA Race].} Although Ramos locked up the endorsements of most major law enforcement organizations,\footnote{Nelson, DA Seeks Fifth Term, supra note 436.} the race was “hotly contested.”\footnote{Shea Johnson, Anderson Upends Ramos’ Fifth Term Bid for District Attorney, DAILY PRESS (June 5, 2018, 8:19 PM), http://www.vvdailypress.com/news/20180605/anderson-upends-ramos-fifth-term-bid-for-district-attorney.} In addition to the negative publicity that Ramos received in the Richards case, he came under fire for what Anderson called his “wrongful political prosecution” of three former public officials and a fourth man for public corruption.\footnote{Nelson, Big Money in DA Race, supra note 437.} All four men insisted that they were innocent.\footnote{Joe Nelson, Those Accused — and Acquitted — in Colonies Corruption Must Now Pick Up the Pieces, SAN BERNARDINO SUN (Sept. 30, 2017, 11:51 AM), https://www.sbsun.com/2017/09/30/colonies-trial-fiasco-leaves-formerly-acquitted-picking-up-pieces-in-aftermath [hereinafter Nelson, Those Acquitted in Corruption Case].} Three of the defendants were acquitted, and prosecutors dismissed charges against the fourth after the jury was unable to reach a verdict, citing “unresolvable witness issues.”\footnote{Joe Nelson, Rancho Cucamonga Developer Files $50 Million Federal Lawsuit Against San Bernardino County, State Prosecutors, SAN BERNARDINO SUN (Apr. 3, 2018, 2:29 PM), https://www.sbsun.com/2018/04/03/rancho-cucamonga-developer-files-50-million-federal-lawsuit-against-san-bernardino-county-state-prosecutors [quoting one defendant’s attorney as saying: “You don’t get to destroy a part of someone’s life by manipulating evidence and eliciting false testimony as both the DA and Attorney General did in this case and then just walk away.”] (internal quotation marks omitted).}
one juror stated that the defendants were “railroaded” and that “[c]oming up with that not guilty verdict was one of the proudest things I’ve ever done.”443

While the San Bernardino Sun, the county’s largest newspaper, endorsed Ramos, it printed a rebuttal to the endorsement to run on June 2, 2018, three days before the election.444 The opinion writer—me—wrote:

Ramos is not a minister of justice. His cultivation of a tough-on-crime persona has led to a win-at-all-costs mentality. . . . Ramos is incapable of admitting, in the face of overwhelming evidence, that some of [his] . . . suspects are actually innocent, and some of his cases are misguided vendettas.445

Ramos waged a hard-fought battle, characterizing himself as “a tough-on-crime prosecutor, touting conviction numbers . . .”446 But in the end, the voters in a conservative county were unpersuaded: Anderson won with nearly fifty-three percent of the vote.447 Anderson promised the voters that “he will make sure the facts in any case can prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt before his office files criminal charges.”448

3. Kym Worthy’s Reckoning

Kym Worthy’s serial innocence denying resulted in a slew of negative press coverage.449 In July 2017, Worthy announced that the

marks omitted); see also Colonies “Corruption” Case Unravels, INLAND EMPIRE BUS. DAILY (Mar. 18, 2017), http://iebusinessdaily.com/colonies-corruption-case-unravels (detailing the perjury of a key witness).

443. Nelson, Those Acquitted in Corruption Case, supra note 441 (internal quotation marks omitted).

444. Lara Bazelon, District Attorney Michael Ramos Is a Roadblock to Justice, SAN BERNARDINO SUN (June 1, 2018, 6:00 PM), https://www.sbsun.com/2018/06/01/district-attorney-michael-ramos-is-a-roadblock-to-justice.
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Wayne County Prosecutor’s Office would be re-opening its moribund CRU, which had been disbanded in 2013 due to lack of funding. In November 2017, Worthy hired as the head of the unit Valerie Newman, an appellate attorney who came from the State Appellate Defender Office and had previously represented Davontae Sanford. Worthy also hired three other lawyers and two investigators.

Since Worthy’s CIU formally opened in January 2018, it has agreed to vacate three wrongful convictions. On March 28, 2018, Worthy issued a press release stating that she was dismissing the murder charges against Richard Phillips, who spent more than forty years in prison for a murder he did not commit “based primarily on the false testimony of the main witness in the case.” Phillips had been free on bond, pending retrial since December 2017, when a judge threw out his conviction. In acknowledging Phillips’s innocence, Worthy stated that her office had conducted a thorough reinvestigation and determined that “[t]he system failed him.” On August 15, 2018, Worthy’s CIU exonerated Aaron Salter, who was convicted of murder in 2003, stating that the case was “based primarily on mistaken identification by the main witness” and that Worthy would not oppose his request for compensation. The same day, the CIU agreed to vacate the double-murder conviction of Ahmed Mubarez who spent fifteen years in prison because “newly discovered evidence . . . warrants relief.”
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by as an innocence denier (discussing Davontae Sanford and reporting that the decision to have a CIU “might help Worthy get off the innocence deniers list”); Jessica Pishko, Kym Worthy Refuses to Admit Fault, Again, APPEAL (Aug. 29, 2017), https://theappeal.org/kym-worthy-refuses-to-admit-fault-again-11859a2133b0 (describing Worthy’s defense of how her office handled the Sanford and Monson cases as “wishy-washy behavior”).
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It is arguable, given Worthy’s innocence-denying history, that her new commitment to righting wrongful convictions is founded more on pragmatism than principle, but what cannot be disputed are her results. Few CRUs have been so productive in their first year of existence. The contrast between Worthy’s innocence-denying conduct in the Sanford and Monson cases and her public acceptance of the wrongful convictions of Phillips, Salter, and Mubarez is dramatic.\textsuperscript{459} Whether Worthy’s apparent conversion is temporary or permanent remains to be seen and may depend, in part, on whether it redounds to her political benefit through positive media coverage that helps to correct the negative image some voters may hold.

V. CEMENTING THE COUNTER-NARRATIVE AS THE REAL STORY OF THE GOOD PROSECUTOR

A. The Power of True Crime Stories to Captivate and Educate the Public

While true crime stories have been an entertainment and educative force since the late 1990s,\textsuperscript{460} in recent years the genre has exploded in popularity by using a dizzying array of creative formats that are widely shared on social media.\textsuperscript{461} The unparalleled ability of writers, filmmakers, talk show stars, and podcast hosts to narrate gripping, in-depth accounts of wrongful convictions has been a powerful force in educating the public about abuses of prosecutorial power.\textsuperscript{462} As Bruce Green and Ellen Yaroshefsky have written, the discussion about
prosecutorial ethics and conduct is no longer confined to a legal and academic elite: "Citizen journalism has allowed interested individuals to employ press tools to inform one another. . . . As a result, there is more discourse and there are more participants in the conversation."463

Some of these wrongful conviction stories feature a prosecutor who has denied a defendant's innocence or engaged in other actions that seemed designed to thwart the search for the truth.464 As the miscarriage of justice becomes manifest in the unfolding story, the public sympathizes with the wrongfully convicted protagonist and feels outrage and indignation at the unfair treatment to which he or she was subjected.465 Although most prosecutorial misconduct goes unpunished,466 that is not true for a smattering of high-profile cases in which public condemnation and a demand for accountability can quickly follow upon the disclosure of misdeeds.467

463. Green & Yaroshesfsky, supra note 461, at 105.
464. See id. at 104-07; The First 1,600 Exonerations, supra note 12, at 11 (reporting that forty-five percent of wrongful convictions involved official misconduct).
467. Two notorious cases in recent memory involve the condemnation, prosecution, and disgrace of Michael B. Nifong and Ken Anderson. In 2006, Nifong, then the elected district attorney for Durham, North Carolina, indicted three Duke University lacrosse players for raping a stripper who had danced at a team party. Shaila Dewan, Duke Prosecutor Jailed; Students Seek Settlement, N.Y. TIMES (Sept. 8, 2007), https://www.nytimes.com/2007/09/08/us/08duke.html; Duff Wilson, 2 Duke Lacrosse Players Indicted in Rape Case, N.Y. TIMES (Apr. 17, 2006), https://www.nytimes.com/2006/04/17/sports/sportsspecial1/2-duke-lacrosse-players-indicted-in-rape-case.html. Nifong withheld crucial DNA evidence that exculpated the players, leading the state attorney general to conclude that the lacrosse players were innocent and Nifong was a "rogue prosecutor." Dewan, supra (internal quotation marks omitted). The North Carolina State Bar brought charges against Nifong and disbarred him. Id.

Increasingly, these cases are no longer seen as isolated freakish anomalies, but rather as part of an institutional culture that can be changed. With the public’s seemingly unquenchable thirst for true crime sagas comes a pile up of stories about truth-thwarting prosecutors and a growing outrage that, in some jurisdictions, is leading to reform.

The media’s power to change the narrative about what it means to be a good prosecutor holds true even when it is not clear that the defendants are factually innocent. What matters is that the prosecutor engaged in perfidy, resulting in an unfair and fatally flawed conviction. Perhaps the best-known example is Making a Murderer, the 2015 Netflix ten-part documentary by filmmakers Laura Ricciardi and Moira Demos chronicling the wrongful convictions of Steven Avery and his sixteen-year-old nephew, Brendan Dassey.

In 2006, Avery and Dassey were arrested in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin for the rape and brutal murder of a young woman named Teresa Halbach. In 2007, Avery was convicted of first-degree murder and possession of a firearm by a felon and sentenced to life without the possibility of parole. Dassey, who was convicted in a separate trial of first-degree murder, mutilation of a corpse, and sexual assault, was sentenced to life in prison.

and that of the true attacker. Bonner, supra; Michael Morton, supra note 432. Morton’s attorneys demanded that a special prosecutor investigate Anderson and a special Court of Inquiry was convened. Bonner, supra. At the end of the process, the judge ruled that Anderson had broken state law and committed perjury by lying to the court. Jeremy Heallen, Former Texas Judge Jailed, Disbarred for Hiding Evidence, LAW360 (Nov. 8, 2013, 7:03 PM), https://www.law360.com/articles/487677/former-texas-judge-jailed-disbarred-for-hiding-evidence. Anderson was arrested, convicted, and sentenced to serve ten days in jail. Id. His license to practice law was revoked and he resigned his judgeship. Id.
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Making a Murderer became an overnight sensation. Within thirty-five days of its release, nearly twenty million people in the U.S. had seen it. Using interviews and footage from the trials and post-conviction proceedings, the filmmakers raised disturbing questions about the conduct of the police and the prosecution in both trials. After watching the series, many people believed that Avery had been framed and Dassey had been coerced into giving a false confession.

Much of the public’s ire was directed toward veteran Calamet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, who served as a special prosecutor in both trials. Kratz came under fire for a series of pretrial press conferences he held, in which he made “salacious and inflammatory” statements about Avery and Dassey that were not supported by the evidence and could have prejudiced the jury pool. Scorn deepened when the documentary revealed that Kratz was forced to resign from his position in disgrace in 2010 after a victim in a domestic violence case provided sexually harassing text messages from Kratz, who was prosecuting her abuser.


475. Id. (“Each episode more infuriating than the last, the series cast a bright light on issues of police and prosecutorial corruption and raised significant questions about the veracity of Avery and Dassey’s convictions.”).

476. See, e.g., Nearly 1 in 4 Wisconsin Adults Believe Manitowoc Co. Framed Steven Avery, Survey Says, TMJ4 (May 12, 2017, 11:12 AM), https://www.tmj4.com/news/local-news/nearly-1- in-4-wisconsin-adults-believe-manitowoc-co-framed-steven-avery-survey-says (stating that twenty-two percent of Wisconsin adults, when surveyed, said it was at least “probably true” that the evidence against Avery was fabricated (internal quotation marks omitted)); see also Shannon Coleman, District Attorney: Release Anthony Wright, CHANGE.ORG, https://www.change.org/p/district-attorney-release-the-man-wrongly-convicted-of-murdering-my-aunt (last visited Feb. 3, 2019); Michael Seyedian, Free Brendan Dassey, CHANGE.ORG, https://www.change.org/p/president-of-the-united-states-free-brendan-dassey-46cbf6b6-538a-4b0e- a034-7a56b06b54b1 (last visited Feb. 3, 2019) (listing 93,057 people who petitioned for Dassey’s release, and citing Dassey’s “false imprison[ment] for a crime that he was coerced into confessing” as one of the reasons for giving him a “new trial at the very least,” if not a complete exoneration).
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Kratz, who went into private practice following his resignation, was inunanted by the opinions of furious *Making a Murderer* watchers, who left a stream of angry reviews on his Yelp page decrying his unethical behavior. Kratz resigned his prosecutorial post before *Making a Murderer* aired, but the sweeping condemnation that followed suggested that, had the sexting scandal not derailed his career, he likely would have had difficulty getting reelected. More importantly, the exposure of Kratz’s unethical behavior in the public square—including trial tactics designed to win rather than ensure that Avery and Dassey received fair trials—had consequences beyond Kratz’s personal and professional shaming. It sparked a national conversation about the abuse of prosecutorial power and the importance of adhering to “minister of justice” ethics. Among the active participants in that conversation were millions of non-lawyers who otherwise might not have thought the topic was interesting or relevant to their lives.

*Making a Murderer* and the wildly popular true-crime podcast *Serial*, which documented the wrongful conviction of Adnan Syed for the murder of his ex-girlfriend, inspired subsequent variations on the same theme: “citizen journalists” using wrongful conviction cases to expose systemic flaws in the criminal justice system, including the abuse of prosecutorial power.

480. Tamerra Griffin, People Are Writing Scathing Yelp Reviews for the Prosecutor from “Making a Murderer”, BUZZFEED NEWS (Dec. 26, 2015, 12:22 PM), https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/tamerragriffin/people-are-writing-scathing-yelp-reviews-for-the-prosecutor; see also Reilly, supra note 477 (stating that Kratz became a defense lawyer following his resignation).


Podcasts are not the only medium for the message that prosecutorial power needs closer scrutiny because its abuse leads to unjust outcomes. *The Last Defense*, a seven-part ABC documentary series that aired in June and July 2018, told the stories of two death row prisoners who had steadfastly maintained their innocence and claimed that prosecutorial misconduct infected their case outcomes.\(^{485}\) The comedian John Oliver, who hosts HBO’s hit show *Last Week Tonight with John Oliver*, recently combined satire and outrage to shine a spotlight on the role of innocence denying in a nearly twenty-minute-long segment called “Prosecutors,” which aired on August 5, 2018 and has been viewed by more than 4.6 million people as of January 7, 2019.\(^{486}\) Oliver dug into specific wrongful conviction cases where prosecutors had thwarted the search for justice to underscore the importance of public education and accountability at the ballot box.\(^{487}\) “[P]rosecutors have the ability to ruin lives in a second,” he told his audience, “so we need to find out who our DAs are and get a sense of the policies and priorities that they’re carrying out where we live.”\(^{488}\)

The podcasts, documentaries, and informed comedic takedowns discussed here are but a few examples of the ways in which the media, responding to consumer demand, has played a vital role in raising public awareness. With the public engaged so intently with these issues, win-at-all-costs prosecutors who show indifference to their ethical obligation to seek justice can find themselves out of a job, replaced by reformers.

### B. Electing Progressive Prosecutors

Beginning with the election of Kings County District Attorney Kenneth Thompson in 2013, a growing number of reformers have sought to unseat incumbent district attorneys known for their unrelentingly tough-on-crime stance at the expense of the poor, people of color, and those who were wrongfully prosecuted.\(^{489}\) These challengers argued that the incumbents’ focus on appearing maximally punitive resulted in the unnecessary, expensive incarceration of low-

\(^{485}\) See James Poniewozik, *Review: The Last Defense’ Aims to Open Once-Shut Cases*, N.Y. TIMES (June 12, 2018), https://www.nytimes.com/2018/06/12/arts/television/the-last-defense-review-viola-davis-abc.html (describing the disturbing tactics employed by prosecutors against one of the prisoners and describing the other’s case as telling “a more depressingly familiar story” of a faulty “system [that] rigidly resists admitting any possible mistakes”).

\(^{486}\) Last Week Tonight, *Prosecutors: Last Week Tonight with John Oliver (HBO)*, YOUTUBE (Aug. 5, 2018), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-ET_b78GSBU.

\(^{487}\) Id.

\(^{488}\) Id.

level offenders and pretrial detainees, the sanctioning of police and prosecutorial misconduct, and a hostility to credible claims of wrongful conviction.\footnote{Id. at 670 ("The recent spate of elections in which prosecutors have won office by promising not toughness, but criminal justice reform—more scrutiny of the police, more vigilance against wrongful convictions, less aggressive patrolling of minority neighborhoods, or some mixture of these changes—suggests we should be skeptical of the idea that the contemporary politics of criminal justice have an inexorable logic."); Christopher Connelly, For Criminal Justice Advocates, Change Starts at the Bottom of the Ballot, KERA NEWS (Mar. 1, 2018), http://www.keranews.org/post/criminal-justice-advocates-change-starts-bottom-ballot (describing a national campaign for a "paradigm shift" in which prosecutors "seek justice, whether that's a conviction or not charging someone") (internal quotation marks omitted)).}

In addition to the previously described successful campaigns by Larry Krasner in 2017 and Jason Anderson in 2018 to replace innocence-denying prosecutors Seth Williams in Philadelphia and Michael Ramos in San Bernardino, California,\footnote{Joseph Neff, How Prosecutor Reform Is Shaking Up Small DA Races, MARSHALL PROJECT (May 1, 2018, 10:00 PM), https://www.themarshallproject.org/2018/05/01/how-prosecutor-reform-is-shaking-up-small-da-races.} there has been a steady trickle of similarly themed victories in jurisdictions across the country.\footnote{See infra text accompanying notes 495, 500-05, 512-18 (progressive prosecutor races).} While it is important to underscore that none of these were single issue races, one crucial plank in the reformers’ platforms was a commitment to revamp an existing but ineffective CRU, to create such a unit where none existed, or, at the least, to promise greater accountability and transparency in the reexamination of wrongful conviction cases.\footnote{Scott Blund, George Soros’ Quiet Overhaul of the U.S. Justice System, POLITICO (Aug. 30, 2016, 5:25 AM), https://www.politico.com/story/2016/08/george-soros-criminal-justice-reform-227519 (quoting one activist as saying “I think people are waking up to the untapped potential for intervention in these seats to really change the day-to-day realities of criminal justice” (internal quotation marks omitted)).} Notably, most of the successful candidates were able to amplify their message with five-to-six figure donations from George Soros, the liberal wealthy billionaire who made it his mission to replace regressive prosecutors with reformers.\footnote{Hal Dardick & Matthew Walberg, Kim Foxx Declares Win in Cook County State’s Attorney’s Race, CHI. TRIB. (Nov. 8, 2016, 10:51 PM), https://www.chicagotribune.com/news/politics/ct-cook-county-states-attorney-kim-foxx-election-met-1109-20161108-story.html; Stephanie Lulay & Erica Demarest, Anita Alvarez Loses, Concedes State’s Attorney's Race to Kim Foxx, DNAINFO (Mar. 16, 2016, 3:09 PM), https://www.dnainfo.com/chicago/20160315/little-village/anita-alvarez-faces-tough-primary-fight-from-kim-foxx-after-laquan-shooting.}

In a high-profile victory in the spring of 2016, Kim Foxx defeated Anita Alvarez, the incumbent state attorney for Cook County, Illinois, in the Democratic primary and cruised to victory in November.\footnote{Supra Part IV.B.1–2.} Alvarez was known as a tough-on-crime crusader and, during her two terms in...
office, gained a reputation for hostility toward wrongful conviction claims. In 2012, Alvarez gave an interview on 60 Minutes defending the convictions of three teenage boys who had falsely confessed to the rape and murder of a fourteen-year-old girl. When asked to explain the DNA evidence, which matched the DNA of an adult male who was a convicted rapist, Alvarez said it was possible he wandered by and had sex with the victim after she was dead.

While Alvarez’s defeat in 2016 was largely ascribed to her bungling of the investigation and charging of a police officer in the fatal police shooting of an unarmed Black teenager, she was also viewed as “a lock-'em-up prosecutor from a bygone era.” Foxx, by contrast, “ran as a reformer on the issues of wrongful prosecutions and police reform . . . .” In an interview with the Chicago Sun-Times shortly after taking office, Foxx said that she was determined to revitalize Alvarez’s CIU, which was viewed as unreceptive to claims involving police or prosecutorial misconduct. In her first year in office, Foxx obtained two voluntary dismissals and exonerated fifteen men and, including fifteen who claimed to have been set up by a corrupt police sergeant. Foxx also reversed Alvarez’s opposition and agreed to vacate the convictions of two men who claimed to have been framed by a different police detective. She also stated that she would not be retrying


497. 60 Minutes: Chicago: The False Confessions Capital (CBS television broadcast Dec. 9, 2012), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YS0_9Xo_78E.

498. Id.

499. Dumke et al., supra note 496; see also Zorn, supra note 496.


them.\textsuperscript{504} Chicago Tribune columnist Eric Zorn wrote that “the public should trust that [Foxx is] more interested in justice than victory or vindication” and predicted that the voters’ “trust will pay dividends. Socially. Legally. Politically.”\textsuperscript{505}

One year earlier, Scott Colom pulled off an unexpected victory in the sixteenth Judicial District in east-central Mississippi, which encompasses four counties and approximately 400,000 people.\textsuperscript{506} Colom, a thirty-two-year-old African-American Democratic candidate, defeated Forrest Allgood, the white incumbent who ran as an Independent and held the position for twenty-five years.\textsuperscript{507} During his time in office, Allgood had become infamous for his innocence denying, relying on discredited bite-mark testimony to convict two different men of two murders of little girls.\textsuperscript{508} When DNA testing exonerated one of the men in 2001, Allgood fought his release for an additional seven years.\textsuperscript{509} In 2008, the DNA from both cases was uploaded to a national criminal database and found to match the same man, who subsequently admitted to committing both of the crimes.\textsuperscript{510} Allgood also secured convictions against two teenagers that were later thrown out by the Mississippi Supreme Court based on faulty science.\textsuperscript{511}

Colom, who received more than $700,000 from a political action committee (“PAC”) funded by Soros,\textsuperscript{512} criticized Allgood for contributing to the problem of mass incarceration with a stance of “basically lock up everybody for as long as possible.”\textsuperscript{513} Colom

\textsuperscript{504} Id.
\textsuperscript{506} Leon Neyfakh, How to Run Against a Tough-on-Crime DA—and Win, SLATE (Nov. 12, 2015, 12:25 PM), http://www.slate.com/articles/news_and_politics/crime/2015/11/district_attorneys_scott_colom_proves_you_can_run_against_a_tough_on_crime.html.
\textsuperscript{507} Id.
\textsuperscript{509} Balko, supra note 508.
\textsuperscript{510} Id.
\textsuperscript{513} Neyfakh, supra note 506.
advanced the view that prosecutors “out-toughing each other” to win elections was an old approach that led to unjust outcomes.\textsuperscript{514} The voters agreed, electing Colom by a wide margin.\textsuperscript{515} In 2018, Colom began collaborating with the director of the University of Mississippi School of Law’s Innocence Project to open a CRU to examine “dozens of murder convictions from Allgood’s tenure,” including other bite mark cases.\textsuperscript{516}

In 2017, Soros-backed candidate Kim Ogg defeated her Republican opponent to become the District Attorney in Harris County, Texas, on a reform platform and with a promise to continue the work of the CIU.\textsuperscript{517} In 2018, two African-American reform candidates funded by Soros unseated incumbent district attorneys in Durham, North Carolina and Ferguson, Missouri.\textsuperscript{518}

It bears emphasizing that these newly-elected reformers are a tiny fraction of the approximately 2400 prosecutors nationwide.\textsuperscript{519} Eighty-five percent of prosecutors run for reelection unopposed.\textsuperscript{520} While some of the reformers represent major cities in populous counties and therefore exert outsized influence, they are still a minority.\textsuperscript{521} The infusion of money from Soros and other liberal PACs was crucial to their victories. If law-and-order groups can match these donations, future races may be more difficult for like-minded candidates to win. Nor is the movement to elect progressive prosecutors on a clear trajectory. In June,
reform candidates, some of whom obtained PAC money, lost their bids to topple incumbent prosecutors throughout California, losing races in Sacramento, Alameda, and San Diego counties.\(^{522}\)

What these races do show, however, is that the standard tough-on-crime message is no longer a sure winner with voters and that candidates with a track record of innocence denying—Ramos, Alvarez, and Allgood—may be particularly vulnerable to a credible challenger with a “minister of justice” message.\(^{523}\) The public, fascinated by true crime stories of wrongful conviction, has a greater understanding of prosecutors’ power and ethical obligations. Their outrage when prosecutors fall short creates a receptivity to a reform message grounded in “doing justice” rather than “lock ‘em up.”

The election of reformers, in turn, may also have a ripple effect. Kym Worthy, who will not face a challenger until 2020,\(^{524}\) fundamentally altered her approach to wrongful conviction claims in 2017.\(^{525}\) Her establishment of a robust CRU followed a raft of negative publicity about her innocence denying.\(^{526}\) It also came after the elections of Krasner, Ogg, and Foxx, who won on progressive platforms in populous jurisdictions with diverse constituencies similar to her own.\(^{527}\) It is too early to tell whether the movement to elect prosecutors with a “do justice” message will gain momentum or falter. The resolution of that question may turn on the continued funding of insurgent campaigns and whether the reformers are able to succeed in office. But if the good prosecutor narrative becomes synonymous with the exoneration of the wrongfully convicted, it seems logical to conclude that the “minister of justice” ideal will become smart politics rather than seeming like a risky strategy.

VI. CONCLUSION

A small but select group of prosecutors are innocence deniers, irrationally refusing to admit that a wrongful conviction has occurred in the face of overwhelming evidence that it has occurred. These men and women violate their fundamental ethical obligation to seek justice. Their


\(^{523}\) See supra text accompanying notes 491-516.


\(^{525}\) See supra text accompanying notes 450-59.

\(^{526}\) See supra note 449 and accompanying text.

\(^{527}\) See supra text accompanying notes 491, 495, 517.
innocence denying imposes steep psychological and financial costs, re-traumatizing exonerees and the original crime victims, angering other criminal justice stakeholders, undermining confidence in the integrity of the system, and resulting in multi-million-dollar judgments borne by the taxpayers.528

Innocence denying is enabled by the traditional “tough-on-crime” narrative, which for decades has equated prosecutorial success with accumulating and preserving the maximum number of convictions at any cost. Ending innocence denying requires a paradigm shift in which a good prosecutor is someone who vindicates the innocent and punishes only the guilty. Although this definition of a good prosecutor aligns perfectly with the “minister of justice” mandate embedded in the ethical rules and U.S. Constitution,529 until recently, it has been viewed as politically risky because conceding error might be associated with weakness or incompetence.

But in recent years, reform-minded prosecutors have upended that trope, campaigning on a platform that acknowledges the problem of wrongful convictions and promises to address it.530 This reform effort has been possible in part because of an infusion of donations from liberal PACs, but also because of a heightened civic engagement with local prosecutor races.531 The awakening to the importance of the prosecutor’s role has come about in no small part because of citizen journalists, whose narration of true crime wrongful conviction stories has sparked outrage and a demand for change. That change is visible in the recent election of “good prosecutor” candidates who have successfully unseated “tough-on-crime” incumbents.532 It is too early to know whether the election of a few reformers is a sign of a seismic change or a blip on the tough-on-crime radar screen. But the message of reform is more likely to stick if the media continues to expose innocence denying and laud prosecutors who help exonerate the wrongfully convicted for their adherence to their fundamental ethical obligation to be “ministers of justice.”533

528. See supra Part II.B.
529. See, e.g., U.S. CONST. amends. V, XIV; MODEL RULES OF PROF’L CONDUCT r. 3.8 cmt. 1 (AM. BAR ASS’N 2016).
530. See supra Part V.B.
531. See supra Part V.B.
532. See supra Part V.B; see also supra text accompanying notes 483-88.
533. MODEL RULES OF PROF’L CONDUCT r. 3.8 cmt. 1.
APPENDIX A: CASES OF INNOCENCE DENIED

Name: Thomas Lee Goldstein
Location: Los Angeles County, California
Crime: Murder
Convicted: 1980
Exonerated: 2004

Thomas Lee Goldstein was convicted of murder and sentenced to twenty-seven years to life in prison based on the false testimony of a jailhouse informant and a dubious eyewitness identification. In 2002, after the eyewitness had recanted and Goldstein presented evidence that the informant lied in numerous other cases, he was granted a new trial; the prosecution appealed the decision and lost. In December 2003, a federal appeals court ordered Goldstein’s immediate release but state officials refused to comply. In 2004, a state judge dismissed the charges. Deputy District Attorney Patrick Connolly responded by saying that it planned to file new charges against Goldstein for the same crime, telling the Los Angeles Times, “I am very confident we have the right guy.” Five months later, after a judge ruled in Goldstein’s favor once more, he was finally released. In August 2010, Goldstein was awarded $8 million by the City of Long Beach after filing a federal civil rights lawsuit.


536. Denzel, supra note 534.
Name: Sylvester Smith  
**Location:** Brunswick County, North Carolina  
**Crime:** Child Sex Abuse  
**Convicted:** 1984  
**Exonerated:** 2004

Sylvester Smith was sentenced to life in prison in North Carolina for sexually assaulting his girlfriend’s two daughters. He was exonerated in 2004 after both daughters admitted their grandmother had pressured them to falsely accuse Smith to cover up for a cousin who had actually molested them. Smith sought a pardon so he could obtain $400,000 in compensation from the State for the two decades he spent in prison, but North Carolina Governor Mike Easley—who had been the prosecutor at Smith’s trial—refused to grant him one.

Name: Marshall Hale  
**Location:** Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania  
**Crime:** Child Sex Abuse  
**Convicted:** 1984  
**Exonerated:** 2017

Marshall Hale was convicted in 1984 of raping a fourteen-year-old girl in Philadelphia and sentenced to up to forty-seven years in prison, “even though the prosecution had biological evidence excluding him as the rapist. When the evidence of his exclusion was” brought to their attention in 2010, the Philadelphia County District Attorney’s Office, led by Prosecutor Seth Williams, “continued to fight to preserve Hale’s conviction . . . .” In March 2017, Williams was indicted on unrelated public corruption charges and handed over control of his office. “Finally, on July 13, 2017, through the efforts of the Pennsylvania Innocence Project” and the work of the newly revamped CRU in the DA’s Office, Hale was released from prison. “By that time, he had
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541. Possley, supra note 539.
spent more than 33 years in custody for a crime he did not commit." 542 Hale can expect to receive no compensation from Pennsylvania because it has no wrongful compensation statute.

Names: Rolando Cruz and Alejandro Hernandez
Location: DuPage County, Illinois
Crime: Murder
Convicted: 1985
Exonerated: 1995

Rolando Cruz and Alejandro Hernandez were convicted in 1985 of abducting, raping, and murdering a ten-year-old girl in Naperville, Illinois. 543 After their convictions were reversed on appeal in 1989, they were convicted again. By then, a serial murderer named Brian Dugan, who had no known connection to Cruz or Hernandez, had confessed to committing the 1983 crime alone. Cruz and Hernandez were retried again in 1995 after DNA had proved Dugan was the rapist. This time, DuPage County Prosecutors Patrick King, Robert Kilander, and Thomas Knight claimed the two men had committed the crime with Dugan. 544 After Cruz was acquitted, prosecutors dismissed the charges against Hernandez but continued to proclaim that both men were guilty. In 1996, King, Kilander, and Knight were indicted for conspiring to obstruct justice and committing perjury. 545 They were acquitted. 546 In 2000, Cruz and Hernandez were awarded $3.5 million by DuPage County after filing a federal civil rights lawsuit. 547 Hernandez was awarded $170,000 and Cruz received $120,300 under the Illinois compensation statute. 548

542. Id.
544. See Maurice Possley & Ken Armstrong, Prosecution on Trial in DuPage: Former and Current County Officials Face Charges Over Misconduct in the Nicarico Murder Case, CHI. TRIB. (Jan. 12, 1999), http://truthinjustice.org/dupage.htm; see also Alejandro Hernandez, supra note 543; Rolando Cruz, supra note 543.
545. Possley & Armstrong, supra note 544.
547. Alejandro Hernandez, supra note 543; Rolando Cruz, supra note 543.
548. Alejandro Hernandez, supra note 543; Rolando Cruz, supra note 543.
Names: Ronnie and Dale Mahan  
Location: Jefferson County, Alabama  
Crime: Sexual Assault  
Convicted: 1986  
Exonerated: 1998

Brothers Ronnie and Dale Mahan were convicted of rape and kidnapping in Jefferson County, Alabama in 1986. The prosecution originally claimed that semen recovered from the victim came from one of the two men. After DNA testing proved that claim was false in 1997, the prosecution argued that the victim (contrary to her trial testimony) had sex with her husband that day and that the rapist had failed to ejaculate. Prosecutors changed their story again, saying the victim had sex with her boyfriend. When further DNA testing disproved both of those claims and turned up a hair from an unknown stranger, the prosecution finally dismissed charges in 1998. Five years later, Jefferson County Assistant District Attorney Arthur Green said of the Mahans: “These sons of bitches are guilty as sin... There’s no question in my mind. This is not a case of innocence... These two bastards are guilty. I just can’t prove it.”

Neither Ronnie nor Dale received any compensation.

Names: Dennis Halstead, John Kogut, and John Restivo  
Location: Nassau County, New York  
Crime: Murder  
Convicted: 1986  
Exonerated: 2005

Dennis Halstead, John Kogut, and John Restivo were convicted of the 1984 rape and murder of a sixteen-year-old girl on Long Island, New York. DNA testing began in 1994, and despite the fact that Halstead, Kogut, and Restivo were excluded time and again, the prosecution

551. Id. (internal quotation marks omitted).
552. Dale Mahan, supra note 549.
continued to demand more testing. Finally, in 2003, their convictions were vacated. Prosecutors took Kogut to trial a second time in 2005. After he was acquitted, the prosecution dismissed the charges against Halstead and Restivo.\footnote{Id.} In 2012, the men’s federal lawsuit seeking compensation was voluntarily dismissed, and Nassau County Attorney John Ciampoli said they were “criminals” who were “responsible for what happened” and had “been denied a chance to turn . . . a heinous crime into a payday that would cripple Nassau County.”\footnote{Id.} In 2014, after the lawsuit was reinstated by a federal appeals court, a jury awarded Halstead and Restivo a combined $36 million. Kogut, who was not a party to the lawsuit, received $1.5 million from the New York Court of Claims.\footnote{Id.}

Name: Jimmy Ray Bromgard  
Location: Yellowstone County, Montana  
Crime: Child Sex Abuse  
Convicted: 1987  
Exonerated: 2002

Jimmy Ray Bromgard was convicted in 1987 of breaking into a home in Billings, Montana and raping an eight-year-old girl. He was exonerated by DNA testing in 2002.\footnote{Id.} In 2006, Montana Attorney General Mike McGrath (who went on to become the chief justice of the state’s supreme court) said under oath in a civil suit that he believed Bromgard was guilty of the crime but had not ejaculated.\footnote{Id.} McGrath suggested several possible explanations for why semen had been found in the victim’s underwear—including that the eight-year-old was “sexually active with someone else” or that her parents had sex on their daughter’s bed.\footnote{Id.} Bromgard was awarded $3.5 million in a settlement with the State, and another man was charged with the girl’s rape in 2015.\footnote{Id.}
Roger Dean Gillispie was convicted in 1991 of sexual assault, robbery, and kidnapping in Dayton, Ohio.\textsuperscript{561} Twelve years later, DNA testing showed that hairs found on the victims were not his. In 2011, a detective testified that he had eliminated Gillispie as a suspect way back in 1991.\textsuperscript{562} Even so, Montgomery County Prosecutor Mat Heck kept on fighting to preserve his conviction. Gillispie was finally exonerated in 2017, twenty-six years after his original conviction.\textsuperscript{563}

Juan Rivera was sentenced to life in prison in 1993 for the rape and murder of an eleven-year-old girl in Waukegan, Illinois.\textsuperscript{564} In 2005, DNA tests on semen found on the victim’s body excluded Rivera as the rapist, and his convictions were vacated. Lake County State Prosecutor Mike Mermel took Rivera to trial again on the theory that the eleven-year-old victim was not raped but instead had consensual sex with someone else prior to the murder.\textsuperscript{565} Rivera was again convicted, but in 2011, an appeals court ruled the conviction was “unjustified and cannot stand,” and he was released. In 2015, Rivera was awarded $20 million by Lake County, Illinois after filing a federal civil rights lawsuit. He was also awarded $213,600 in state compensation.

\textsuperscript{562} Id.
\textsuperscript{563} Id.
Name: Anthony Wright  
Location: Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania  
Crime: Murder  
Convicted: 1993  
Exonerated: 2016

Anthony Wright was sentenced to life in prison without parole for the 1991 rape and murder of a seventy-seven-year-old woman in Philadelphia.\textsuperscript{566} Wright’s conviction was based primarily on a confession that he claimed was false. In 2013—after the prosecution fought for years to deny Wright access to DNA testing—DNA tests showed the rapist was Ronnie Byrd, a drug dealer who lived near the victim and had since died. Nonetheless, the Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office, led by Seth Williams, took Wright to trial again in 2016, claiming for the first time that he committed the crime with Byrd. After five minutes of deliberations, Wright was acquitted on all counts. In 2018, Wright was awarded $9.85 million by Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania, after filing a federal civil rights lawsuit.\textsuperscript{567}

Name: Fred Steese  
Location: Clark County, Nevada  
Crime: Murder  
Convicted: 1995  
Exonerated: 2017

Fred Steese was sentenced to life in prison without parole for a 1992 murder in North Las Vegas, Nevada, despite evidence showing he was in Idaho at the time of the crime.\textsuperscript{568} William Kephart, the prosecutor who tried the case, was accused of egregious misconduct at trial; he had been previously reprimanded for similar misconduct by the Nevada Supreme Court.\textsuperscript{569} In 2012, Steese was granted a new trial based on newly discovered evidence establishing that it was “more likely than not [that] no reasonable juror would have found the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt . . . .”\textsuperscript{570} But Assistant District Attorney Pamela
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\textsuperscript{570}. Possley, supra note 568 (internal quotation marks omitted).
Wekerly told Steese he would have to enter an *Alford* plea\(^\text{571}\) to second-degree murder in exchange for immediate release or face the possibility of further appeals.\(^\text{572}\) In November 2017, the Nevada Board of Pardons, with the support of the governor and the entire Nevada Supreme Court, granted Steese a pardon based on innocence.\(^\text{573}\) Kephart went on to become a district court judge.\(^\text{574}\) Nevada is among the states that do not offer the wrongfully convicted any compensation.\(^\text{575}\)

**Names:** Jonathan Barr, James Harden, Shainnie Sharp, Robert Taylor, and Robert Veal  
**Location:** Cook County, Illinois  
**Crime:** Murder  
**Convicted:** 1994–1997  
**Exonerated:** 2011

Jonathan Barr, James Harden, Shainnie Sharp, Robert Taylor, and Robert Veal—known as the Dixmoor Five—were falsely convicted of the rape and murder of a fourteen-year-old girl in Dixmoor, a suburb south of Chicago.\(^\text{576}\) The five men were convicted even though pretrial DNA testing had eliminated all of them as the source of semen recovered from the victim. All five, who had falsely confessed, were exonerated in 2011 when the DNA profile from the semen was sent to

571. North Carolina v. *Alford*, 400 U.S. 25, 31, 37 (1970) (holding that a court may accept a plea where the defendant refuses to allocate to each element of the crime charged, so long as the defendant intelligently and voluntarily chooses to enter the plea (especially where the defendant, by entering the plea, acts on the advice of competent counsel), and “the record before the judge contains strong evidence of actual guilt”).


573. See Possley, *supra* note 568.


the FBI’s DNA database and the real criminal, a known serial rapist, was
identified.\footnote{577} Even so, Cook County State Attorney Anita Alvarez
insisted the actual rapist might have had sex with the victim’s corpse
after Barr and the others killed her.\footnote{578} In June 2014, the Dixmoor Five
were awarded $40 million by the Illinois State Police after filing
several federal civil rights lawsuits. The actual rapist was finally indicted
in 2016.\footnote{579}

Name: Daniel Larsen

Location: Los Angeles County, California

Crime: Possession of a Concealed Knife

Convicted: 1999

Exonerated: 2014

Daniel Larsen was convicted of possession of a concealed knife in
Los Angeles, California.\footnote{580} He was sentenced under California’s “three
strikes” law\footnote{581} to twenty-eight years to life in prison. In 2005, Larsen
presented evidence from a retired police chief and several other
witnesses that the man with the knife was someone else and that the real
perpetrator had admitted as much after Larsen was arrested. The State
opposed reopening the case. In 2009, a federal judge found Larsen had
established that he was actually innocent and ordered a new trial. The
prosecution, led by then-California Attorney General Kamala Harris,
appealed, and a federal appeals court affirmed the ruling.\footnote{582} In 2014, the
Los Angeles County District Attorney’s Office finally dismissed the
charge rather than go to trial again, nine years after convincing evidence
of innocence was first presented. Larsen sought compensation by filing a
federal civil rights lawsuit in 2015 and filing for state compensation in
2017, but the claims were denied.\footnote{583}
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Name: Clarence Elkins
Location: Summit County, Ohio
Crime: Murder
Convicted: 1999
Exonerated: 2005

Clarence Elkins was convicted and sentenced to life in prison for raping and murdering his mother-in-law and raping and nearly killing his six-year-old niece in Barberton, Ohio. The only evidence against Elkins was his niece’s identification, which she later recanted. Though the police interviewed the mother-in-law’s neighbor, a convicted felon named Earl Gene Mann, they never followed up on that lead. In 2002, three years after Elkins was sent to prison, Mann was convicted of raping three girls, all under the age of ten. In 2004, DNA recovered from the crime scene was tested and found not to match Elkins. Summit County Prosecutor Sherri Bevan Walsh still opposed Elkins’ motion for a new trial. In 2005, a cigarette butt containing Mann’s DNA was matched to DNA evidence from the crime scene. Even so, Walsh did not agree to Elkins’ release, relenting months later under pressure from the Ohio Attorney General. In 2006, Elkins was awarded $1.075 million from the State of Ohio after filing for compensation under the state’s wrongful imprisonment statute. In 2008, Walsh secured a guilty plea from Mann and apologized to Elkins. In November 2010, Elkins was awarded $5.25 million from the City of Barberton after filing a civil suit against the Barberton Police Department.

Names: Derek Tice, Danial Williams, Eric Wilson, and Joseph Dick, Jr.
Location: Norfolk County, Virginia
Crime: Murder (Tice, Williams, and Dick) and sexual assault (Wilson)
Convicted: 1999–2000
Exonerated: 2011–2017

Derek Tice, Danial Williams, Joseph Dick, Jr., and Eric Wilson—U.S. Navy sailors known as the Norfolk Four—were convicted of the 1997 rape and murder of a woman in Norfolk, Virginia, after being coerced into inconsistent false confessions. Before trial, DNA

585. Id.
evidence identified a different man as the rapist, and he confessed that he committed the crime alone. Nonetheless, Prosecutors D.J. Hansen and Valerie Bowen secured convictions against the four innocent men and fought to keep them in prison for nearly two decades. The first of the four was exonerated in 2011, and all were finally granted absolute pardons by then-Governor Terry McAuliffe in March 2017. In March 2018, the Norfolk Four were awarded $3.5 million by the State of Virginia after Governor Ralph Northam signed compensation legislation.

Name: Julie Rea  
Location: Lawrence County, Illinois  
Crime: Murder  
Convicted: 2002  
Exonerated: 2006

Julie Rea was sentenced to sixty-five years in prison for the 1997 murder of her ten-year-old son in Lawrenceville, Illinois. In 2004, Tommy Lynn Sells, a notorious serial killer, confessed to the crime. Rea’s conviction was vacated, but the prosecution took her to trial again, claiming Sells had falsely confessed. A jury acquitted Rea in 2006. Nonetheless, Special State Prosecutor Ed Parkinson continued to insist that Rea was guilty and labeled the defense’s evidence “[n]onsense.”
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In November 2010, Rea was awarded $87,057 by the Illinois Court of Claims.

Name: Kirstin Blaise Lobato  
Location: Clark County, Nevada  
Crime: Manslaughter (Originally Convicted of First-Degree Murder)  
Convicted: 2002 and 2006  
Exonerated: 2017

Kirstin Blaise Lobato was twice convicted of killing a homeless man in 2002 and in 2006. The second time, she was sentenced to thirteen to forty-five years in prison. No physical evidence connected Lobato to the crime scene. She passed a lie-detector test and never wavered in her assertions of innocence. On December 29, 2017, a trial judge overturned Lobato’s conviction after finding that scientific evidence showed that the murder had to have occurred shortly before the victim’s body was found—when it was undisputed that Lobato was 170 miles away. The prosecutor, William Kephart, continued to insist that Lobato was guilty, leading the Nevada Supreme Court to issue a public reprimand. Kephart is the same prosecutor who denied the innocence of Fred Steese. He is now a trial judge in Las Vegas.

Name: Ralph Armstrong  
Location: Dane County, Wisconsin  
Crime: Murder and Sexual Assault  
Convicted: 1981  
Exonerated: 2009

Ralph Armstrong was convicted of the murder and sexual assault of a young woman in 1981 and sentenced to life in prison plus sixteen


596. See *supra* notes 569-74 and accompanying text.
years. The original prosecutor was Assistant District Attorney John Norsetter. Armstrong's conviction rested on forensic evidence and an eyewitness identification made after the eyewitness underwent hypnosis at the police's direction. Despite obtaining evidence that excluded Armstrong as the source of semen on the victim's robe, he was denied a new trial. In 2001, Armstrong again requested a new trial after more advanced DNA testing was conducted on the semen, blood, and hair samples which excluded Armstrong as the donor. The Wisconsin Supreme Court overturned his conviction and granted him a new trial in 2005. While the retrial was pending, the defense learned that Norsetter had never disclosed a call he received in 1995 from a woman claiming that Armstrong's brother, Steve, had confessed to the murder in gruesome detail. With the knowledge that Steve might be the killer, Norsetter used up the remaining DNA evidence to run a test that failed to distinguish genetic material between male siblings. In 2009, a state circuit court judge dismissed the case based on the destruction of evidence and the prosecutor's withholding potentially exculpatory evidence.

Name: David Munchinski
Location: Fayette County, Pennsylvania
Crime: Murder
Convicted: 1986
Exonerated: 2013

David Munchinski was falsely convicted in 1986 and sentenced to two consecutive life terms for the murder of Peter Alford and Raymond Gierke. The victims were found shot to death in a remote cabin in
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607. Munchinski v. Solomon, 618 F. App'x 150, 152 (3d Cir. 2015); Maurice Possley, David
Bear Rocks, Pennsylvania, where an autopsy revealed that they had been anally raped at least twenty-four hours before their bodies were discovered. District Attorney Gerald Solomon and Assistant District Attorney Ralph Warman prosecuted Munchinski. No physical evidence connected him to the crimes. The prosecution's case hinged on the testimony of a man named Richard Bowen, a known forger and burglar, who claimed to have witnessed the murders. Years after Munchinski was convicted, it was revealed that Solomon and a lead investigative officer coached Bowen to testify against Munchinski in exchange for leniency in his own pending cases and concealed reports of witness statements implicating other suspects. Munchinski applied for habeas relief several times over the years, claiming that the prosecution withheld material exculpatory evidence. Finally, in 2013, Munchinski prevailed, convincing both the federal trial and appellate judges that the State's misconduct was so extreme, and the wrongful conviction so undeniable, that they had no choice but to intervene. "The scope of the Brady violations here is staggering," the Third Circuit Court of Appeals wrote, going on to say that "the murders could not have happened" as Solomon and Warman argued at trial. Worse, they both knew it. "Munchinski has demonstrated his actual innocence by clear and convincing evidence," the judges wrote and voided his conviction.

Name: Eric Kelley & Ralph Lee  
Location: Passaic County, New Jersey  
Crime: Murder  
Convicted: 1996  
Exonerated: 2018  

Eric Kelley and Ralph Lee, two young African-American men, were convicted and sentenced to life in prison in 1996 for murdering a store clerk. Kelley and Lee claimed that they were tricked into falsely confessing. The eyewitness who identified Lee said he was wearing a
distinctive green plaid cap, which was found at the murder scene near the victim’s body. In 2008, defense lawyers sought DNA testing on the hat using more sophisticated methods than were available at trial. Camelia Valdes, the Passaic County Prosecutor, opposed the motion. After years of litigation, the testing was finally performed. The results came back in 2015: the DNA on the hat matched a man named Eric Dixon. At the time of the murder, Dixon had been out of prison for only three months after committing a similar armed robbery. Valdes’ office never told the Passaic County detectives about the hit to Dixon. The lead detective in the case first learned of Dixon while on the witness stand at an evidentiary hearing in January of 2017. In September of 2017, a trial judge overturned Kelley and Lee’s convictions. Valdes finally dismissed the case on April 6, 2018, after losing an appeal seeking to reinstate the convictions. Valdes continues to insist that Kelley and Lee are guilty, citing the “powerful evidence” of their false confessions. Dixon has never been prosecuted.

Name: Kennedy Brewer
Location: Noxubee County, Mississippi
Crime: Murder and Sexual Battery
Convicted: 1995
Exonerated: 2008

Kennedy Brewer was convicted in 1995 for the murder and sexual assault of his girlfriend’s three-year-old daughter in 1992. Brewer’s conviction resulted almost entirely on bite mark analysis performed by Dr. Michael West, a forensic odontologist whom District Attorney Forrest Allgood frequently called as an expert to testify in his cases. In a 2010 deposition, West remarked that he no longer believes in bite

---
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mark evidence.⁶²⁴ DNA testing was performed in 2001 at the behest of Innocence Project attorneys; it excluded Brewer as a suspect but suggested another suspect.⁶²⁵ Allgood failed to run the DNA sample through the state’s database of criminal offenders, which could have excluded Brewer. After a special prosecutor was assigned to the case, the DNA sample was finally run through the state database in 2008.⁶²⁶ The test linked a well-known sex offender, Justin Albert Johnson, to the crime. Johnson had been an initial suspect in both Brewer’s case and an identical case of another child homicide in Noxubee County.⁶²⁷ In a 2017 interview, Allgood insisted that Brewer was somehow involved in the crime, despite the DNA evidence exonerating him.⁶²⁸

**Name:** Jerome Morgan  
**Location:** Orleans Parish, Louisiana  
**Crime:** Murder  
**Convicted:** 1994  
**Exonerated:** 2016

Jerome Morgan was eighteen when he was convicted for the 1993 murder of Clarence Landry in New Orleans, Louisiana.⁶²⁹ The gunman immediately fled the scene; he was chased unsuccessfully by Landry’s friend, Kevin Johnson.⁶³⁰ Johnson and another witness ultimately identified Morgan as the shooter. Both later recanted, stating that they had been coached by the police.⁶³¹ Morgan’s post-conviction lawyers discovered that prosecutors had suppressed evidence that the ballroom had been sealed within minutes of the shooting and that Morgan had been locked inside, making it impossible that he was the fleeing gunman.⁶³² Orleans Parish District Attorney Leon Cannizzaro continued to insist that Morgan was guilty and fought his release.⁶³³ A trial judge

---
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overturned Morgan’s conviction in 2014.634 At that point, he had served twenty years.635 Cannizzaro unsuccessfully opposed Morgan’s release on bail, then appealed to the state’s intermediate appellate court seeking to reinstate his conviction.636 “When that effort failed, he appealed again, this time to the state’s highest court.”637 In May 2016, two weeks before Morgan’s scheduled retrial, the Orleans Parish District Attorney’s Office finally dismissed the charges, saying the high court’s ruling had tied its hands.638 Cannizzaro indicted Johnson and the second witness for perjury; following a trial, they were acquitted. On May 26, 2017, Morgan filed a federal civil rights lawsuit against the Orleans Parish District Attorney’s Office and the New Orleans Police Department seeking damages.639

**Name:** William Richards  
**Location:** San Bernardino County, California  
**Crime:** Murder  
**Convicted:** 1997  
**Exonerated:** 2016

In 1993, William Richards was charged with the murder of his wife, Pamela.640 The state’s first three attempts to convict Richards were unsuccessful.641 At the fourth trial, new expert testimony was introduced.642 A dentist told the jury a bite mark photographed on Pamela’s arm was consistent with Richard’s teeth, and that the bite mark was so unusual—being found in just one to two percent of the population.643 Richards was convicted and sentenced to twenty-five years to life in prison in 1997.644 Richards’s case caught the attention of the CIP, which filed a motion for DNA testing on the physical evidence at the crime scene.645 When results came back in 2007, they excluded
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Richards.\textsuperscript{646} A year later, the dentist recanted his testimony\textsuperscript{647} and in 2009, a trial judge overturned Richards’s conviction.\textsuperscript{648} The San Bernardino County District Attorney’s Office, led by Michael Ramos, appealed the judge’s order.\textsuperscript{649} Another seven years of legal dueling followed.\textsuperscript{650} In May of 2016, the California Supreme Court ruled unanimously to overturn Richards’s conviction.\textsuperscript{651} In June of 2016, Ramos’s office announced it would be trying Richards for the fifth time.\textsuperscript{652} On June 20, 2016, CIP filed a motion to dismiss the case citing vindictive prosecution,\textsuperscript{653} and eight days after, Ramos’s office finally dismissed the murder charge.\textsuperscript{654} In 2017, Richards filed a civil rights lawsuit against the County of San Bernardino, two sheriff’s deputies, one sergeant, one detective, and three criminalists.\textsuperscript{655}

\textbf{Name:} Davontae Sanford  
\textbf{Location:} Wayne County, Michigan  
\textbf{Crime:} Murder  
\textbf{Convicted:} 2008  
\textbf{Exonerated:} 2016

Davontae Sanford was fourteen when he confessed to murdering four people in a drug house on Runyon Street in Detroit, Michigan’s East Side.\textsuperscript{656} Left alone with detectives in a late-night interrogation, Sanford says he broke down after being told he could go home if he gave them “something.”\textsuperscript{657} Sixteen days after Sanford was sentenced, a hitman, Vincent Smothers, told the police that he had committed the Runyon Street murders.\textsuperscript{658} Smothers explained that he had worked with an accomplice, Ernest Davis, and Smothers provided a wealth of corroborating details to back up his account.\textsuperscript{659} But Smothers and Davis were never charged for the murders. Neither the Detroit Police
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Department nor the Wayne County Prosecutor’s Office ever informed Sanford’s attorneys of Smothers’ confession.660 When that information was leaked in 2009, Sanford’s attorneys sought to reverse his conviction.661 Wayne County Prosecuting Attorney, Kym Worthy, opposed this effort.662 In 2015, Sanford’s attorneys filed a motion for post-conviction relief, and the Michigan State Police began to reinvestigate the case.663 One year later, the State Police issued a report stating that Smothers and Davis were guilty, that Sanford was innocent, and that Detroit Deputy Police Chief James Tolbert had lied to convict Sanford.664 Nine years into Sanford’s incarceration, Worthy finally agreed to his release, but only on account of Tolbert’s misconduct.665 She continued to insist that Sanford was guilty.666 In July of 2017, Sanford filed a civil suit under Michigan’s wrongful compensation statute.667 Four months later, the Michigan Attorney General conceded Sanford’s innocence.668 On December 21, 2017, the judge awarded Sanford $408,356.16.669

**Name**: James Dennis  
**Location**: Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania  
**Crime**: Murder  
**Convicted**: 1992  
**Released**: 2017

James Dennis was convicted for the 1991 murder of a seventeen-year-old girl.670 His conviction rested primarily on the testimony of three eyewitnesses. A federal trial judge ordered a new trial for Dennis, citing

661. Bazelon, *Innocence Deniers*, supra dagger note; see also Sanford’s Motion, supra note 182, at 45.  
663. Sanford’s Motion, *supra* note 182, at 15; Possley, *Davidentae Sanford*, *supra* note 168.  
667. Sanford’s State Complaint, *supra* note 189, at 1, 8-9.  
multiple *Brady* violations in which the State had withheld exculpatory evidence, writing: "The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has committed a grave miscarriage of justice in convicting Dennis and sentencing him to die . . ." The Philadelphia District Attorney, Seth Williams, who denied the innocence of Anthony Wright and Marshall Hale, appealed to the Third Circuit, which ruled in an en banc decision, 9-4, that Dennis was entitled to a new trial. The District Attorney’s Office pledged to retry the case unless Dennis took a no contest plea in exchange for time served. Dennis “took the only path he knew would keep him from execution” and pleaded no contest. His lawyer told the judge that Dennis “maintains the same position that he has maintained for 25 years: that he is innocent of this crime . . .” Dennis was released after serving twenty-five years in prison. The Philadelphia District Attorney’s Office stated: “We accepted this plea not because of doubts about guilt, but because of the inherent difficulties of retrying a complex case more than a quarter century after the crime.”

**Name:** Robert Jones  
**Location:** Orleans Parish, Louisiana  
**Crime:** Sexual Assault  
**Convicted:** 1996  
**Exonerated:** 2017

In 1996, Robert Jones was convicted for a 1992 crime spree in the infamous New Orleans French Quarter. His conviction was the result of mistaken witness identifications, perjury, and other police misconduct. In 2004, the Innocence Project of New Orleans got involved in Jones’s case after Jones wrote to them seeking help. Several post-conviction petitions later, the Louisiana Court of Appeal reversed the conviction and granted Jones a new trial in 2014. Orleans Parish District Attorney Leon Cannizzaro, the same prosecutor who denied Jerome Morgan’s innocence, fought Jones’s release at every stage of the case.
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Jones was finally exonerated on January 26, 2017 and filed a federal civil rights lawsuit seeking money damages in January 2018.  

Name: Lamarr Monson  
Location: Wayne County, Michigan  
Crime: Murder  
Convicted: 1997  
Exonerated: 2017  

Lamarr Monson was convicted in the 1996 murder of a twelve-year-old girl and sentenced to thirty to fifty years in prison. Monson claimed he had been tricked into signing a false confession. Nearly twenty years later, Monson’s post-conviction attorneys sought testing on bloody fingerprints found on the ceramic toilet tank lid that the medical examiner identified as the likely murder weapon. The Michigan State Police found that all the fingerprints matched a man named Robert Lewis, who was living in the same building as the victim at the time of the murder. Wayne County Prosecuting Attorney Kym Worthy’s office fought to preserve Monson’s conviction during an evidentiary hearing in late 2016. On January 30, 2017, a judge granted Monson a new trial and he was subsequently released on bond. Seven months later, in August 2017, a few weeks before Monson’s retrial, Worthy’s office finally dismissed the case. Worthy stated that her decision not to re-prosecute Monson was not based on her belief that he was innocent but instead was “[d]ue to the destruction of evidence, issues surrounding the way the police obtained Monson’s confession and the passage of time . . .”. Lewis was never charged. Monson filed a federal civil rights lawsuit naming as defendants the City of Detroit and the Detroit Police Department.
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Name: Darryl Hunt
Location: Forsyth County, North Carolina
Crime: Murder
Convicted: 1985
Exonerated: 2005

At nineteen years old, Darryl Hunt, who is African-American, was convicted for the rape and murder of Deborah Sykes, a young white woman. His trial was racially charged, and in prison, he faced constant death threats from white supremacists. Some of that time was served in solitary confinement and caused deep psychological harm. His story became an HBO film, The Trials of Darryl Hunt. The State fought Hunt’s release even after DNA tests, which came back in 1994, excluded Hunt as the rapist. Hunt was freed in 2005 after the DNA was run through a database and matched a man named Willard E. Brown, who subsequently pleaded guilty to Sykes’ murder. Hunt received compensation from the State, got married, and gained a national reputation as a criminal justice advocate. However, he remained haunted, became addicted to drugs, and suffered from depression. In 2016, Hunt committed suicide.

Name: Michael Morton
Location: Williamson County, Texas
Crime: Murder
Convicted: 1987
Exonerated: 2011

Michael Morton was wrongfully convicted of murdering his wife in 1987. At trial, Prosecutor Ken Anderson withheld crucial exculpatory evidence. Morton’s family and supporters persisted in his innocence, finally gaining a retrial. Morton was eventually exonerated in 2011.
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After his conviction, Anderson and other prosecutors fought against Morton’s release tooth and nail, even though DNA evidence found on a bandana near the crime scene pointed to a man named Mark Alan Norwood as the real killer. Two years after Morton was convicted and sent away, Norwood had gone on to kill another woman in a nearly identical manner. Morton spent nearly twenty-five years in prison. Patricia Cummings, the former head of the CRU in Dallas County, was crucial in helping to free Morton. Her efforts and the efforts of the Innocence Project led to the disbarment and jailing of Anderson. Morton received $1.9 million in compensation from the State of Texas and a monthly annuity of $12,000.

Name: Edward Garry
Location: Bronx County, New York
Crime: Murder
Convicted: 1997
Exonerated: 2018

Edward Garry was convicted for the 1995 murder of a former police officer who had been shot while trying to stop a robbery carried out by multiple men. Two eyewitnesses identified Garry from a selection of mug shots. The Bronx District Attorney’s Office prosecuted Garry, who was then sentenced to twenty-five years to life. But two decades later, new evidence came to light, including a confession from two of the perpetrators who identified the shooter as a man named Steven Martinez, who looked very similar to Garry. The Bronx District Attorney’s Office continued to insist that Garry was
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guilty, and according to his lawyers, fought his release “tooth and nail.”\textsuperscript{708} Finally, in 2017, a Bronx judge overturned Garry’s conviction.\textsuperscript{709} But Bronx County District Attorney Darcel Clark continued to insist that Garry was guilty.\textsuperscript{710} In 2018, Clark’s office retried Garry for the murder.\textsuperscript{711} Peter Forcelli, one of the detectives who helped convict Garry in the first trial, testified for the defense in the second trial, and the jury acquitted Garry after deliberating for less than thirty minutes.\textsuperscript{712}

**Name:** Keith Cooper and Christopher Parish  
**Location:** Elkhart County, Indiana  
**Crime:** Robbery  
**Convicted:** 1997  
**Exonerated:** 2017

Keith Cooper and Christopher Parish were both convicted in 1997 for the armed robbery of a seventeen-year-old and each sentenced to forty years in prison.\textsuperscript{713} In 2004, DNA on a hat left behind by one of the robbers was matched to Johlanis Cortez Ervin, who was serving a prison sentence for a 2002 murder—a crime committed after Cooper and Parish were convicted.\textsuperscript{714} Parish’s attorney brought the new evidence to Judge Stephen E. Platt, who asked Elkhart County Deputy Prosecutor Charles C. Wicks for his response. Wicks opposed Parish’s petition for release, filing a pleading which contained multiple false statements, including assertions that three witnesses had identified Parish as the shooter when they had not.\textsuperscript{715} Judge Platt adopted “wholesale” the false representations of Wicks and ruled against Parish.\textsuperscript{716} Although Cooper and Parish’s convictions were ultimately overturned by the State Court
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of Appeals, they each took a plea to get time served rather than risk conviction at a retrial.

Keith Cooper’s lawyers sought a pardon, and in 2014, the Indiana Parole Board recommended to then-Governor Mike Pence that he grant the request. The City of Elkhart, represented by attorney Martin Kus, filed a lengthy opposition, arguing that if the pardon was granted the city could be liable for millions of dollars. Kus also disputed the police misconduct allegations and argued that it was possible Cooper had worn the hat with Ervin’s DNA on it. One month after taking office, Indiana’s new governor, Republican Eric Holcomb, granted Cooper’s pardon request, stating: “I believe he is innocent of that crime.”

Christopher Parish was also pardoned by Governor Holcomb.

Name: Louis Taylor
Location: Pima County, Arizona
Crime: Arson
Convicted: 1970
Released: 2013

Louis Taylor, who is African-American, was sixteen years old when he was arrested on suspicion that he had deliberately set a fire that burned down a landmark hotel, killing twenty-eight people in 1970. For nine hours, detectives interrogated Taylor. Despite enormous pressure, he did not confess. The case against Taylor was “weak.”

717. Possley, Christopher Parish, supra note 713; Possley, Keith Cooper, supra note 713; Sheckler & Armstrong, supra note 715.
718. Possley, Christopher Parish, supra note 713; Possley, Keith Cooper, supra note 713.
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But an all-white jury did convict Taylor, based in large part on forensic testimony by an expert named Cyrus Holmes, who testified that the fire had to be arson.728 More than forty years after Taylor had been incarcerated, five nationally renowned arson experts729 re-examined the evidence in the case, applying the NFPA Guide.730 In 2012, they issued an exhaustive report finding that Holmes’ purported expert methods were proven scientifically unsound.731 Holmes, meanwhile, stuck to his trial testimony that the fire was arson.732 Following Holmes’ deposition and the release of the report, Pima County Prosecutor Barbara LaWall directed the Tucson Fire Department to conduct its own re-investigation.733 In 2013, Wayne Cummings, an investigator with the City of Tucson Fire Department, released a forty-two-page report which concluded that the fire could have been an accident.734 Nevertheless, LaWall promised to fight Taylor’s release to the United States Supreme Court unless he pleaded no contest to twenty-eight counts of murder in exchange for a sentence of time-served.735 Taylor, who had professed his innocence for four decades, saw no way out.736 He took the deal.

728. Taylor’s Petition, supra note 135, at 8, 30. Based on his “depth of char measurements,” Holmes concluded that “the fire had at least two areas of origin” and thus “were separate and independent occurrences that could only have been a product of arson . . . .” Id. at 8.

729. LENITNI REPORT, supra note 134, at 21-22 (listing the credentials of the authors of the Arson Review Committee Report).

730. LENITNI REPORT, supra note 134, at 9, 12, 15, 24-25; NFPA GUIDE, supra note 138.

731. LENITNI REPORT, supra note 134, at 8-16. More specifically, the authors noted that “Holmes failed to interview early witnesses to the fire, and further, he failed to review interviews conducted by the Tucson Police Department.” Id. at 4. This failure breached the arson investigation standards that existed even in the 1970s, which “stressed the importance of witness information when analyzing the origin and cause of a fire.” Id. at 5. Holmes’ conclusion that the fire was arson “rested entirely upon the determination that the fire started in two places.” Id. at 3. Eyewitness accounts directly contradicted Holmes’s conclusion that the fire had two points of origin that were separate from one another. Id. at 13. “Had Mr. Holmes considered this important information, it should have substantially altered his findings and conclusions.” Id. at 5. “[T]he photographs, videotape of the fire scene, [and] notes” also contradicted Holmes’s conclusions that the fire had two separate points of origin. Id. at 6.

732. Prosecution’s Memorandum, supra note 141, at 8.

733. See supra text accompanying notes 144-47; see also Smith, supra note 145.

734. CUMMINGS, supra note 145, at 2, 40-41; see also Smith, supra note 145. In reaching his conclusions, Cummings relied upon “studying and reviewing audio, video, electronic, and paper-based documentation that was obtained from the archived records of the Tucson Fire Department, the Tucson Police Department, and the Pima County Attorney’s Office.” CUMMINGS, supra note 145, at 2.

735. I Had No Choice, supra note 130; supra note 149 and accompanying text.

736. I Had No Choice, supra note 130.
pleading no contest.737 After Taylor filed a federal civil rights lawsuit in 2015,738 LaWall's office used his no contest plea to argue that he was ineligible for compensation.739

737. *Id.*
***