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FOREWORD

THE Hofstra University School of Law will graduate its Charter Class in June, 1973. When the first class convened in September, 1970, there were 83 students and eight faculty members. Presently there are 400 students from over 120 colleges and universities, a faculty of 18 full-time and 2 adjunct members, and an 83,000-volume law library staffed by five professional librarians. On December 29, 1972, the School of Law was accredited by the Association of American Law Schools and was admitted to the Association's membership. Together with the approval given by the American Bar Association in the summer of 1971, this accreditation marks the end of the beginning.

The Hofstra Law Review was commissioned in September, 1971. This inaugural volume is the result of extensive analysis of the purpose and meaning of "law review" and the needs which a new law review must serve. The Board of Editors believed that it could best serve the legal community by fostering consideration of future problems now. Its goal, therefore, is to present a discussion of prospective issues so that current thought and debate will help define and hopefully decrease the scope of future problems. The six lead articles were solicited to conform to this "Preview" theme. We are proud to present the thoughts and opinions of our eminent contributors on such varied subjects as the legal profession, international disputes settlement, criminal law, the Confrontation Clause, computers and the law, and zoning.

As a "Preview" with immediate implications, we also present a symposium of the analyses and projections of leading scholars in the field of Conflict of Laws. Neumeier v. Kuehner is the most recent landmark in an area of the law which has seen great change in the past decade. We hope that the symposium will serve to focus attention on some of the problems in this unsettled area.

The Board of Editors believed that it would be presumptuous for students to engage in prediction. Therefore the comments and casenotes do not fall under the "Preview" umbrella. Student talents were directed toward the isolation and exploration of specific legal problems in the more traditional manner.

The Review gratefully acknowledges the support and encouragement of the Board of Trustees and the Administration of Hofstra University. The entire faculty of the School of Law aided and abetted
the creation of this publication, but we particularly would like to
acknowledge the support of Dean Malachy T. Mahon, the guidance
and prodding of Associate Dean and Advisor to the Review Judith
T. Younger and the technical assistance of Professor Eugene M.
Wypyski, the Law Librarian, and his staff.

_The Board of Editors_